arXiv:math/0207187v1 [math.QA] 22 Jul 2002

Hopf Galois extensions, triangular structures, and Frobenius Lie algebras in prime characteristic

Serge Skryabin

Chebotarev Research Institute, Kazan, Russia

Current address: Mathematisches Seminar, University of Hamburg,
Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

E-mail: fm1a009@math.uni-hamburg.de

Introduction

The final goal of this paper is to introduce certain finite dimensional Hopf algebras associated with restricted Frobenius Lie algebras over a field of characteristic $p > 0$. The antipodes of these Hopf algebras have order either $2p$ or 2, and in the minimal dimension p^2 there exists just one Hopf algebra in this class which coincides with an example due to Radford [35] of a Hopf algebra with a nonsemisimple antipode (the first example of this kind in [44] does not quite fit into our scheme). Another feature of the Hopf algebras under consideration is that they admit triangular structures of maximal rank, so that they are isomorphic to their dual Hopf algebras taken with the opposite multiplications. Regarding the algebra structure alone, these Hopf algebras are isomorphic to the restricted enveloping algebras of the corresponding Lie algebras (such isomorphisms are not canonical). In particular, they are never semisimple. It should be mentioned that all semisimple cosemisimple triangular Hopf algebras over any algebraically closed field were classified by Etingof and Gelaki [12].

The Hopf algebras we deal with are instances of transformations in Hopf Galois extensions discovered not so long ago. The Hopf Galois theory originated in the work of Chase and Sweedler [5] and received a full treatment by Kreimer and Takeuchi [21]. We recall the notion of a Hopf Galois algebra in section 1 of the paper. Suppose that H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over a field and A is a left H-module algebra which is H^*-Galois with respect to the corresponding right H^*-comodule structure. A construction of Schauenburg [38] generalizing a special case of commutative A and H^* considered by Van Oystaeyen and Zhang [47] produces a certain Hopf algebra $L(A, H^*)$. As was pointed out by Greither [14] in the commutative case the dual Hopf algebra is isomorphic to the endomorphism algebra $E = \operatorname{End}_H A$. A similar description is valid in general. In section 1 we show that the Hopf algebra structure on E can be easily introduced directly using properties of a duality functor D between the categories of finite dimensional H-modules and

---

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation through the program of long term cooperation

E-modules. It is this Hopf algebra E that we are interested in. Another way to construct E is to twist the comultiplication in H by means of Drinfeld's process [11]. Such a twist is determined by an element of $H \otimes H$ which is unique, however, only up to a "gauge equivalence", and in the situation of primary interest to us it is hardly possible to see such an element. The Hopf Galois theory provides a way to handle the subject properly.

There is a bijective correspondence between the quasitriangular structures on H and E. In section 2 we investigate a relationship between the ranks of two mutually corresponding quasitriangular structures R on H and $\widetilde{R}$ on E. It is shown in Theorem 2.5 that $\dim A$ divides the product $(\operatorname{rk} R)(\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{R})$ provided that A is central simple. In particular, $\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{R} = \dim A = \dim E$, that is, $\widetilde{R}$ has maximal rank when H is cocommutative and $R = 1 \otimes 1$. In section 3 we show that the squares of antipodes in both H and E are closely related to a certain automorphism θ of the algebra A. Theorem 3.8 determines the order of the antipode of E in case when H is cocommutative and A is central simple. It is described in terms of the order of the modular function $\alpha \in H^*$ in the group of invertible elements of H^*. Section 4 explains how each H-invariant, with respect to a suitable module structure, generating subspace in the algebra H or A gives rise to a generating subspace in E or E^*, respectively. If H is cocommutative and A is central simple, then E^* is mapped onto E, giving a second kind of generating subspaces in E. Particular elements occurring in such generating subspaces are introduced and their properties are recorded.

All the results discussed above are obtained in general Hopf theoretical settings. Since the algebras over a field are of main concern in this paper, basic facts from Hopf Galois theory are recalled in much less generality than they are available in the literature. Another preference of using the module structures rather than the comodule ones stems from the desire to find a link between the Hopf algebras and the representation theory, especially in case of Lie algebras.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite dimensional p-Lie algebra over a field k such that $\operatorname{char} k = p$. To each $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ there corresponds a finite dimensional factor algebra $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ [42, 5.3]. Here $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra in a natural way, and each $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is a left $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$-module algebra with respect to the adjoint action. It turns out that $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is $U_0(\mathfrak{g})^*$-Galois if and only if $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is central simple. In this case one obtains a Hopf algebra $E_\xi = \operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{g}} U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ as was explained above. In section 6 preceding results are reformulated for E_ξ. There is a canonical way to pick out a generating subspace for E_ξ and a multiplication on this subspace which defines a structure not of an ordinary Lie algebra but rather of a quantum modification. What one gets here is covered by the concept of Lie algebras in symmetric tensor categories proposed by Manin [25]. In this sense E_ξ is an enveloping algebra of a quantum Lie algebra.

The p-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *Frobenius* if there exists $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that the associated alternating bilinear form $\beta_\xi(x, y) = \xi([xy])$ defined on $\mathfrak{g}$ is nondegenerate [30]. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is Frobenius and k is algebraically closed then it follows from the results in [27] and [33] that the family of reduced enveloping algebras $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ contains a simple algebra. It is an open problem if the converse is true, and such a result would parallel the characterization due to Ooms [30], [31] of finite dimensional Lie algebras over a field of characteristic 0 having primitive universal enveloping algebras. Explicit computations of Hopf algebras E_ξ for low dimensional Frobenius $\mathfrak{g}$ are done at the end of the paper.

1. Transformation of a Hopf algebra

We work over the ground field k. The indication of k in $\otimes$, Hom, End will be omitted. Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over k. All unexplained notions related to Hopf algebras are taken from [28] and [43]. Denote by Δ, ε, S the comultiplication, counity and antipode in H and other Hopf algebras. We write symbolically $\Delta h = \sum_{(h)} h' \otimes h''$ for $h \in H$. Denote by H-mod the category of finite dimensional H-modules. Given $U, V \in H$-mod, the action of H in $U \otimes V$ will be always assumed to come via Δ. There are two ways to make H operate in the dual of V: one takes $\langle h\xi, v\rangle$ to equal either $\langle \xi, S(h)v\rangle$ or $\langle \xi, S^{-1}(h)v\rangle$ for $\xi \in V^*$ and $v \in V$. One thus obtains the left and right duals of V in H-mod, denoted as V^* and ${}^*V$, respectively. Put $V^H = \{v \in V \mid hv = \varepsilon(h)v \text{ for all } h \in H\}$. For U, V, W in H-mod there are linear bijections

$$({}^*V \otimes W)^H \cong \operatorname{Hom}_H(V, W) \cong (W \otimes V^*)^H, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_H(V, {}^*U \otimes W) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_H(U \otimes V, W) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_H(U, W \otimes V^*) \tag{1.2}$$

(see [18, Prop. XIV.2.2]). In particular, if $\mu : U \otimes V \to W$ is a morphism in H-mod, then the set $\{v \in V \mid \mu(U \otimes v) = 0\}$ is an H-submodule of V. Indeed, it coincides with kernel of the H-module map $V \to {}^*U \otimes W$ corresponding to μ. When k stands for an object of H-mod, the action of H is given by ε. By a similar convention H operates in $H \in H$-mod via left multiplications. On the other hand, H_{ad} presupposes the adjoint action under which $h \triangleright g = \sum_{(h)} h' g S(h'')$ for $h, g \in H$. The Hopf algebra H^{op} has the same comultiplication as in H and the opposite multiplication. In H^{cop} the comultiplication is the opposite one: $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} h = \sum_{(h)} h'' \otimes h'$.

Let now A be a left H-module algebra, so that the unity map $k \to A$ and the multiplication map $A \otimes A \to A$ are H-module homomorphisms. Changing the multiplication in A to the opposite one produces a left H^{cop}-module algebra A^{op}. Define linear maps $\pi, \pi' : A \otimes H \to \operatorname{End} A$ and $\gamma, \gamma' : A \otimes A \to A \otimes H^* \cong \operatorname{Hom}(H, A)$ by

$$\pi(a \otimes h)(b) = a(hb), \tag{1.3}$$

$$\pi'(a \otimes h)(b) = (hb)a, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\gamma(a \otimes b)(h) = a(hb), \tag{1.5}$$

$$\gamma'(a \otimes b)(h) = (ha)b, \tag{1.6}$$

where $a, b \in A$ and $h \in H$. Two of these maps give homomorphisms of algebras $\pi : A \# H \to \operatorname{End} A$ and $\pi' : A^{\mathrm{op}} \# H^{\mathrm{cop}} \to \operatorname{End} A$ where $\#$ denotes the smash product algebra structure.

Proposition 1.1. *If one of the maps $\pi, \pi', \gamma, \gamma'$ is bijective then so are all others.*

This is contained in [21] and [46]. The only difference is that we dropped the assumption $A^H = k$ on the subalgebra of H-invariants $A^H \subset A$. The map γ' is A-linear with respect to right multiplications on the second tensorand in $A \otimes A$ and the first tensorand in $A \otimes H^*$. One obtains π from γ' by applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_A(?, A)$ after natural identifications. Since the A-modules $A \otimes A$ and $A \otimes H^*$ are free, π is bijective if and only if so is γ'. There is a similar relationship between π' and γ. Finally, $\gamma' = \Phi \circ \gamma$ for a suitable invertible transformation Φ of $A \otimes H^*$ constructed in [21, Prop. 1.2].

One can regard A as a right H^*-comodule algebra with respect to the structure map $A \to A \otimes H^*$ such that $a \mapsto \gamma(1 \otimes a)$. The algebra A is called *right H^*-Galois* or an *H^*-Galois extension* of k if $\pi, \pi', \gamma, \gamma'$ are bijective [5], [20]. It suffices to assume only that γ is surjective provided that $A^H = k$ [21, (1.4) and (1.7)]. If A is H^*-Galois then $\dim A < \infty$. Left comodule Galois algebras are defined similarly.

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose that A is H^*-Galois. Then:*

(i) *As an H-module, A is free of rank 1. In particular, $A^H = k$.*

(ii) *The algebra A is Frobenius. If $\chi \in A^*$ spans a onedimensional H-submodule, then the bilinear form $(a, b) = \chi(ab)$ defined on A is nondegenerate.*

(iii) *For any $A \# H$-module M the linear map $A \otimes M^H \to M$ given by $a \otimes v \mapsto av$ is bijective.*

Proof. Assertion (i) is the so-called normal basis property. It follows quickly from the Krull-Schmidt Theorem and the fact that $A \# H$ is a free left H-module of rank $d = \dim A$ which is isomorphic, on the other hand, to a direct sum of d copies of A since $A \# H \cong \operatorname{End} A$. An equivalent formulation is given in [20, Prop. 2]. Since H is a Frobenius algebra by [22], one has $\dim A^H = 1$.

Assertion (ii) is a special case of [21, Th. 1.7(5)]. By the assumption on χ there exists an algebra homomorphism $\alpha : H \to k$ such that $\chi(ha) = \alpha(h)\chi(a)$ for all $h \in H$ and $a \in A$. Then $\ker \chi$ is stable under H, and it follows that so too is the left ideal $I = \{b \in A \mid \chi(Ab) = 0\}$ of A. As I is a proper $A \# H$-submodule of A, it has to be zero.

Assertion (iii) is a consequence of the fact that M is a direct sum of copies of the simple $A \# H$-module A. Category equivalences in more general settings are described in [6], [7], [8], [40], [46]. □

Corollary 1.3. *Let $V \in H$-mod, and let A operate in $M = \operatorname{Hom}(V, A)$ by the rule $(a\sigma)(v) = a\sigma(v)$ where $a \in A$, $\sigma \in M$ and $v \in V$. The map $A \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_H(V, A) \to M$ afforded by this action of A is bijective.*

Proof. Let A operate in $A \otimes V^*$ via left multiplications on the first tensorand, and let H operate via $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$ as usual. These two module structures are compatible so that $A \otimes V^*$ becomes an $A \# H$-module. We make M into an $A \# H$-module using the canonical k-linear bijection $M \cong A \otimes V^*$. According to (1.1) $M^H = \operatorname{Hom}_H(V, A)$. It remains to apply Prop. 1.2(iii). □

We now make a standing assumption for the most of the rest of the paper:

$$\textit{A is assumed to be } H^*\textit{-Galois, and } E = \operatorname{End}_H A. \tag{1.7}$$

By our convention both H and E operate on A from the left. Since $A \cong H$ in H-mod, there exist a noncanonical isomorphism of algebras $E \cong H^{\mathrm{op}} \cong H$ (the second isomorphism is given by the antipode S) and an isomorphism of E-modules $A \cong E$.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $U, V \in H$-mod.*

(i) *The contravariant functor $D = \operatorname{Hom}_H(?, A)$ gives a Morita duality between H-mod and E-mod. The inverse functor is $D^{-1} = \operatorname{Hom}_E(?, A)$.*

(ii) *One has $\dim D(V) = \dim V$ for all V. In fact $D(V) \cong V^*$ under noncanonical k-linear bijections which are natural in V.*

(iii) *There are k-linear bijections $D(U) \otimes D(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(U \otimes V)$, $\tau \otimes \sigma \mapsto \tau \smile \sigma$, where $(\tau \smile \sigma)(u \otimes v) = \tau(u)\sigma(v)$ for $\tau \in D(U)$, $\sigma \in D(V)$, $u \in U$, $v \in V$.*

(iv) *There are perfect pairings $D(V) \times D(V^*) \to k$ defined by the rule $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle = \sum_i \sigma(e_i)\tau(e_i^*)$ for $\sigma \in D(V)$, $\tau \in D(V^*)$ where $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e_i^*\}$ are dual bases of the vector spaces V and V^*, respectively.*

(v) *If $\{\sigma_i\}$ and $\{\tau_i\}$ are dual bases of $D(V)$ and $D(V^*)$ with respect to the pairing in* (iv)*, then $\langle \xi, v \rangle = \sum_i \tau_i(\xi)\sigma_i(v)$ for all $\xi \in V^*$ and $v \in V$.*

Proof. Since H is Frobenius, it follows from Proposition 1.2(i) that A is an injective cogenerator in A-mod. Hence D is indeed a Morita duality with D^{-1} as stated [13, Th. 23.25, (7) ⇒ (8)]. Choosing a particular isomorphism $A \cong H \cong {}^*H$ in H-mod and using (1.2), we get bijections

$$D(V) = \mathrm{Hom}_H(V, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_H(H \otimes V, k) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_H(H, V^*) \cong V^*$$

of (ii). Let $M = \mathrm{Hom}(V, A)$. We identify V^* with a subspace of M. If $e_1^*, \ldots, e_n^*$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ are dual bases for V^* and V then $e_1^*, \ldots, e_n^*$ is also a basis for M over A, and $\sigma = \sum_j \sigma(e_j)e_j^*$ for each $\sigma \in M$. Let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ be a basis for $D(V)$. By Corollary 1.3 $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ is a basis for M over A. It follows that the matrix X whose entry in the ith row, jth column is $\sigma_i(e_j)$, $1 \le i, j \le n$, is invertible in the ring $\mathrm{Mat}_n(A)$. Given $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ such that $\sum_i a_i\sigma_i(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$, one then has necessarily $a_1 = \ldots = a_n = 0$. In particular, if $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \in D(U)$ and $\sum_i \tau_i(u)\sigma_i(v) = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, then $\tau_1 = \ldots = \tau_n = 0$. This shows that the map in (iii) is injective (this map is well-defined since the multiplication $A \otimes A \to A$ is a morphism in H-mod). By comparison of dimensions the map in (iii) is bijective.

There is a morphism $k \to V \otimes V^*$ in H-mod given by $1 \mapsto \sum_j e_j \otimes e_j^*$. The duality functor produces a map $D(V) \otimes D(V^*) \cong D(V \otimes V^*) \to D(k) \cong A^H = k$ under which $\sigma \otimes \tau \mapsto \sum_j \sigma(e_j)\tau(e_j^*)$. This shows that $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \in k$ for all $\sigma \in D(V)$, $\tau \in D(V^*)$. If $\langle \sigma_i, \tau \rangle = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ then $\tau = 0$ by the invertibility of the matrix X above. Since $\dim D(V) = n = \dim D(V^*)$ according to (ii), the pairing in (iv) is nondegenerate. If now $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n$ is a basis of $D(V^*)$, dual to $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ with respect to this pairing, and Y is the $n \times n$-matrix containing $\tau_j(e_i^*)$ in the i, j-position, then XY equals the identity matrix. Hence so is YX too, since X is invertible. This gives $\sum_l \tau_l(e_i^*)\sigma_l(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$, yielding (v) for $\xi = e_i^*$ and $v = e_j$. Since the expressions in (v) are linear in ξ and v, the equality is fulfilled in general. □

Remark. Both (iv) and (v) are consequences of (iii) in view of the abstract characterization of dual objects in tensor categories as presented, e.g., in [18, XIV.2]. By the above proposition, for any $U', V' \in E$-mod there is a E-module structure on $U' \otimes V'$ coming from the isomorphism $U' \otimes V' \cong D\big(D^{-1}(U') \otimes D^{-1}(V')\big)$. If also $W' \in E$-mod, then the canonical map $U' \otimes (V' \otimes W') \to (U' \otimes V') \otimes W'$ is a morphism in E-mod. Thus E-mod becomes a tensor category in which every object has a left and a right duals. This additional structure on E-mod corresponds to a Hopf algebra structure on E. A more explicit argument is given below.

Lemma 1.5. *Given $\varphi \in E$, there are unique elements $\sum_i \varphi_i' \otimes \varphi_i'' \in E \otimes E$ and $\sum_j \varphi_j' \otimes \varphi_j'' \otimes \varphi_j''' \in E \otimes E \otimes E$ such that $\varphi(ab) = \sum_i \varphi_i'(a)\varphi_i''(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $\varphi(abc) = \sum_j \varphi_j'(a)\varphi_j''(b)\varphi_j'''(c)$ for all $a, b, c \in A$.*

Proof. Note that $E = D(A)$. By Proposition 1.3(iii) $E \otimes E \cong D(A \otimes A)$ and $E \otimes E \otimes E \cong D(A \otimes A \otimes A)$. It remains to notice that the maps $a \otimes b \mapsto \varphi(ab)$ and $a \otimes b \otimes c \mapsto \varphi(abc)$ are elements of $D(A \otimes A)$ and $D(A \otimes A \otimes A)$, respectively. $\square$

Theorem 1.6. *There is a unique comultiplication on E with respect to which E is a Hopf algebra and A is a left E-module algebra. The algebra A is E^*-Galois and the canonical map $H \to \operatorname{End}_E A$ is bijective.*

Proof. Define $\Delta : E \to E \otimes E$ by assigning to each $\varphi \in E$ the element of $E \otimes E$ described in Lemma 1.5. Both $(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(\varphi)$ and $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(\varphi)$ correspond to the map $a \otimes b \otimes c \mapsto \varphi(abc)$ in $D(A \otimes A \otimes A)$. Hence Δ is coassociative. Next, we have $\varphi(1) \in A^H = k$ for all $\varphi \in E$. Define $\varepsilon : E \to k$ setting $\varepsilon(\varphi) = \varphi(1)$. Substituting either $a = 1$ or $b = 1$ in the identity $\varphi(ab) = \sum_{(\varphi)} \varphi'(a)\varphi''(b)$, we see that ε is a counit for Δ. If $\varphi, \psi \in E$ then

$$(\varphi\psi)(ab) = \varphi\bigl(\sum_{(\psi)} \psi'(a)\psi''(b)\bigr) = \sum_{(\varphi),(\psi)} \varphi'\psi'(a)\varphi''\psi''(b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$, whence $\Delta(\varphi\psi) = \Delta(\varphi)\Delta(\psi)$. One has also $\Delta(\mathrm{id}_A) = \mathrm{id}_A \otimes \mathrm{id}_A$ so that Δ is a homomorphism of unital algebras.

We will check next that the bialgebra E has an antipode. Let $E^+ = \{\varphi \in E \mid \varepsilon(\varphi) = 0\}$ denote the augmentation ideal of E. Since A is a cyclic free E-module, E^+A is a subspace of codimension 1 in E. Take $\chi \in A^*$ such that $\ker \chi = E^+A$. Since the actions of H and E on A commute with each other, E^+A is H-stable. Then A/E^+A is a onedimensional H-module on which H operates via an algebra homomorphism $\alpha : H \to k$. So $\chi(ha) = \alpha(h)\chi(a)$ for all $h \in H$ and $a \in A$. By Proposition 1.2(ii) the bilinear form $(a, b) = \chi(ab)$ is nondegenerate on A. Hence for each $\varphi \in E$ there exists the adjoint linear transformation $S(\varphi) : A \to A$ such that $(\varphi a, b) = (a, S(\varphi)b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. Let $k_\alpha = k$ as a vector space, and let H operate in k_α via α. The map $A \otimes A \to k_\alpha,\ a \otimes b \mapsto \chi(ab)$ is then a morphism in H-mod. By (1.2) it corresponds to an H-module map $\nu : A \to {}^*\!A \otimes k_\alpha$. Forgetting the H-module structure, we may identify the target of ν with the dual of A, and then $\nu(b)(a) = \chi(ab)$ for $a, b \in A$, so that ν is bijective. Next, $S(\varphi) = \nu^{-1} \circ ({}^*\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \nu$ where ${}^*\varphi$ is the H-module endomorphism of ${}^*\!A$ defined by $\xi \mapsto \xi \circ \varphi$. It follows that $S(\varphi) \in \operatorname{End}_H A$. We have thus constructed a map $S : E \to E$. Now

$$\varepsilon(\varphi)\chi(ab) = \chi\bigl(\varphi(ab)\bigr) = \chi\bigl(\sum_{(\varphi)} \varphi' a \cdot \varphi'' b\bigr) = \chi\bigl(a \cdot \sum_{(\varphi)} S(\varphi')\varphi'' b\bigr)$$

for all a, b, and the nondegeneracy of the bilinear form entails $\sum_{(\varphi)} S(\varphi')\varphi'' b = \varepsilon(\varphi)b$. Hence S is a left inverse of id_E in the convolution algebra $\operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$. Since left invertible elements in finite dimensional associative algebras are invertible on both sides, S is the antipode of E.

Corollary 1.3 shows for $V = A$ that the map $A \otimes E \to \operatorname{End} A$ defined in (1.3) with E in place of H is bijective. Hence A is E^*-Galois. The bijectivity of the map $H \to \operatorname{End}_E A$ is a general property of Morita dualities. $\square$

Remarks. The Hopf algebra $L = L(A, H^*)$ constructed by Schauenburg is characterized by the properties that A is left L-Galois and the coaction of L on A commutes with that of H^* [38, Th. 3.5]. It follows that $L \cong (E^{\mathrm{op}})^*$. The existence of antipode in L is a consequence a result in [39] according to which every bialgebra coacting on a Galois algebra is in fact a Hopf algebra.

There is a well-known characterization of Galois algebras as crossed products [7, Th. 9, Th. 11], [4, Th. 1.18]. According to these results one can identify A with H^* as a left H-module, and the multiplication in A is then obtained by twisting the multiplication in H^* so that $\xi \cdot_J \eta = \sum_{(\xi),(\eta)} \langle \xi' \otimes \eta', J\rangle \xi''\eta''$ for $\xi, \eta \in H^*$ where $J \in H \otimes H$ is an invertible cocycle. This realization of A provides a particular choice of an algebra isomorphism $E \cong H^{\mathrm{op}}$ with respect to which the comultiplication in E corresponds to the J-twist $h \mapsto J\Delta(h)J^{-1}$ of the comultiplication in H as defined by Drinfeld [11]. From the viewpoint of Drinfeld's twists some properties of the transformed Hopf algebra were studied in [1]. The nondegenerate twists defined in [1] correspond to central simple Galois algebras.

Lemma 1.7. *An element $\varphi \in E$ is grouplike if and only if φ is an automorphism of A. Similarly, $h \in H$ is grouplike if and only if h operates on A as an automorphism.*

This is immediate from Lemma 1.5 and the symmetry between H and E.

Lemma 1.8. *Let $V \in H$-mod. The pairings of Proposition* 1.4(iv) *induce isomorphisms $D(V^*) \cong {}^*D(V)$ and $D({}^*V) \cong D(V)^*$ in E-mod.*

Proof. The pairing $D(V) \times D(V^*) \to k$ is E-invariant in the sense that $\varepsilon(\varphi)\langle \sigma, \tau\rangle = \sum_{(\varphi)} \langle \varphi'\sigma, \varphi''\tau\rangle$ for all $\sigma \in D(V)$, $\tau \in D(V^*)$ and $\varphi \in E$. A standard transformation of this identity gives $\langle \sigma, S(\varphi)\tau\rangle = \langle \varphi\sigma, \tau\rangle$, whence the first isomorphism. Since $({}^*V)^* = V$, there is also an E-invariant pairing $D({}^*V) \times D(V) \to k$. □

2. A correspondence between triangular structures

A *quasitriangular structure* on a Hopf algebra H is an element $R = \sum_i r_i' \otimes r_i'' \in H \otimes H$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(h)R = R\Delta(h) \qquad \text{for all } h \in H, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(R) = 1, \qquad (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(R) = 1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(R) = R_{13}R_{23}, \qquad (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13}R_{12} \tag{2.3}$$

where R_{pq} for $1 \le p, q \le n$ denote the image of R under the algebra homomorphism $H \otimes H \to H^{\otimes n}$ which identifies $H \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes H$ with the pth and qth tensorands of $H^{\otimes n}$, respectively. As shown in [36, Lemma 1], the above conditions imply that R is invertible (with $R^{-1} = \sum_i S(r_i') \otimes r_i''$) in accordance with the original definition due to Drinfeld [9]. If $R^{-1} = R_{21}$, then R is called *triangular*.

The map $f_r : H^* \to H$ defined by $f_r(\xi) = \sum_i \langle \xi, r_i'\rangle r_i''$ for $\xi \in H^*$ is a homomorphism of algebras and an antihomomorphism of coalgebras. Similarly, $f_l : H^* \to H$ defined by $f_l(\xi) = \sum_i \langle \xi, r_i''\rangle r_i'$ is an antihomomorphism of algebras and a homomorphism of coalgebras. As a consequence $R_l = \operatorname{Im} f_l$ and $R_r = \operatorname{Im} f_r$ are Hopf subalgebras of H. If in the expression $\sum_i r_i' \otimes r_i''$ the elements $\{r_i'\}$ form a basis for R_l, then the elements $\{r_i''\}$ form a basis for R_r. Define the *rank* of R as $\operatorname{rk} R = \dim R_l = \dim R_r$ [37]. By Nichols and Zoeller's freeness theorem [29] $\operatorname{rk} R \mid \dim H$ when H is finite dimensional. We say that R is of *maximal rank* if $\operatorname{rk} R = \dim H$.

A quasitriangular structure R on H determines a braiding on the tensor category H-mod given by the maps

$$c_{UV} : U \otimes V \xrightarrow{\sim} V \otimes U, \qquad u \otimes v \mapsto \sum_i r_i'' v \otimes r_i' u$$

(see [18, VIII.3]). This makes H-mod into a braided tensor category as defined by Joyal and Street [17].

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that R is a quasitriangular structure on H and A is a left H-module algebra. Then the formula $T(a,b) = \sum_i (r_i''b)(r_i'a)$ for $a, b \in A$ defines a new associative multiplication on A such that $T(a,1) = T(1,a) = a$ for all $a \in A$. The map T is H-invariant, so that $A_R = (A,T)$ is a left H-module algebra. If A is H^*-Galois then so is A_R too and $\operatorname{End}_H A_R \cong (\operatorname{End}_H A)^{\mathrm{cop}}$ as Hopf algebras.*

Proof. The map $A \otimes A \to A$ given by $a \otimes b \mapsto T(a,b)$ is the composite of the braiding map $c_{AA} : A \otimes A \to A \otimes A$ and the original multiplication $A \otimes A \to A$, both of which are homomorphisms of H-modules. The unity property of 1 follows from (2.2). The associativity of T is a consequence of formal properties of the braiding (A_R and A are opposite algebras in the braided tensor category of H-modules). A straightforward verification is as follows. If $a, b, c \in A$ then

$$T(a, T(b,c)) = \sum_{i,l} r_i''\big((r_l''c)(r_l'b)\big) \cdot (r_i'a) = \sum_{i,j,l} (r_j''r_l''c)(r_i''r_l'b)(r_i'r_j'a)\,,$$
$$T(T(a,b),c) = \sum_{i,l} (r_i''c) \cdot r_i'\big((r_l''b)(r_l'a)\big) = \sum_{i,j,l} (r_i''r_j''c)(r_i'r_l''b)(r_j'r_l'a)$$

by (2.3). The two expressions above are equal as follows from the well known identity $R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}$ (see [10]) explicitly written as

$$\sum_{i,j,l} r_i'r_j' \otimes r_i''r_l' \otimes r_j''r_l'' = \sum_{i,j,l} r_j'r_l' \otimes r_i'r_l'' \otimes r_i''r_j''\,.$$

Consider the canonical homomorphism $\pi : A_R \# H \to \operatorname{End} A$. Thus $\pi(a \# h)(b) = T(a, hb) = \sum_i (r_i''hb)(r_i'a)$ for $a, b \in A$ and $h \in H$. Let $R^{-1} = \sum_j \overline{r}_j' \otimes \overline{r}_j''$. Then

$$\sum_j \pi(\overline{r}_j'a \,\#\, \overline{r}_j'')(b) = \sum_{i,j} (r_i''\overline{r}_j''b)(r_i'\overline{r}_j'a) = ba$$

since $\sum_{i,j} r_i'\overline{r}_j' \otimes r_i''\overline{r}_j'' = 1 \otimes 1$. This shows that $\operatorname{Im}\pi$ contains the subalgebra of right multiplication operators $b \mapsto ba$ in A. On the other hand $\operatorname{Im}\pi$ contains also the subalgebra of operators in $\operatorname{End} A$ given by actions of elements in H. By the bijectivity of map (1.4) these two subalgebras generate the whole $\operatorname{End} A$ whenever A is H^*-Galois. In this case π is surjective, hence bijective by dimension considerations, so that A_R is H^*-Galois. Let $E = \operatorname{End}_H A$. Then $\operatorname{End}_H A_R = E$ as algebras since $A_R = A$ as H-modules. Finally, A_R is a left E^{cop}-module algebra as for $\varphi \in E$ we have

$$\varphi\big(T(a,b)\big) = \sum_{(\varphi),i} (\varphi'r_i''b)(\varphi''r_i'a) = \sum_{i,(\varphi)} (r_i''\varphi'b)(r_i'\varphi''a) = \sum_{(\varphi)} T(\varphi''a, \varphi'b). \qquad \square$$

Further on we make the same assumptions as in section 1, that is, A is H^*-Galois and $E = \operatorname{End}_H A$.

Proposition 2.2. *There is a bijective correspondence between the quasitriangular structures on H and E under which $R=\sum_i r'_i\otimes r''_i$ and $\widetilde{R}=\sum_m \rho'_m\otimes\rho''_m$ correspond to one another if and only if*

$$\sum_i (r''_i b)(r'_i a)=\sum_m (\rho''_m a)(\rho'_m b) \qquad \text{for all } a,b\in A. \tag{2.4}$$

*Furthermore, $\widetilde{R}$ is triangular if and only if so is R. If c and $\tilde{c}$ denote the bradings of the categories H-*mod *and E-*mod *determined by R and $\widetilde{R}$, respectively, then for each pair $U,V\in H$-*mod *there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} D(V\otimes U) \arrow[r, "D(c_{UV})"] & D(U\otimes V) \\ D(V)\otimes D(U) \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "\tilde{c}_{D(V),D(U)}"] & D(U)\otimes D(V) \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.5}$$

where the vertical arrows are the bijections of Proposition 1.4(iii).

Proof. According to Proposition 1.4 $D(A\otimes A)\cong D(A)\otimes D(A)=E\otimes E$. Given R, the map $a\otimes b\mapsto\sum_i (r''_i b)(r'_i a)$ is an element of $D(A\otimes A)$ by Lemma 2.1. It follows that there exists a unique element $\widetilde{R}\in E\otimes E$ satisfying identity (2.4). We will check that $\widetilde{R}$ is a quasitriangular structure on E. If $\varphi\in E$ then, applying φ to both sides of (2.4), we deduce

$$\sum_{(\varphi),i} (\varphi' r''_i b)(\varphi'' r'_i a)=\sum_{(\varphi),m} (\varphi'\rho''_m a)(\varphi''\rho'_m b)\,.$$

Since the actions of H and E on A commute with each other, the left hand side can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{(\varphi),i} (\varphi' r''_i b)(\varphi'' r'_i a)=\sum_{i,(\varphi)} (r''_i\varphi' b)(r'_i\varphi'' a)=\sum_{m,(\varphi)} (\rho''_m\varphi'' a)(\rho'_m\varphi' b)\,,$$

again by (2.4). Thus $\sum_{(\varphi),m}\rho''_m\varphi''\otimes\rho'_m\varphi'=\sum_{(\varphi),m}\varphi'\rho''_m\otimes\varphi''\rho'_m$ as both sides of this equality correspond to the same element in $D(A\otimes A)$. Swapping the tensorands yields $\widetilde{R}\Delta(\varphi)=\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(\varphi)\widetilde{R}$.

Taking $b=1$ in (2.4), we get $\sum_m \varepsilon(\rho'_m)\rho''_m a=\sum_i \varepsilon(r''_i)r'_i a=a$ for all a. Hence $(\varepsilon\otimes\mathrm{id})(\widetilde{R})=1$. The substitution $a=1$ in (2.4) gives similarly $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varepsilon)(\widetilde{R})=1$. For $a,b,c\in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_m (\rho''_m a)\rho'_m(bc)=\sum_i r''_i(bc)(r'_i a)&=\sum_{i,j}(r''_j b)(r''_i c)(r'_i r'_j a)\\ &=\sum_{j,m}(r''_j b)(\rho''_m r'_j a)(\rho'_m c)\\ &=\sum_{j,m}(r''_j b)(r'_j\rho''_m a)(\rho'_m c)\\ &=\sum_{m,n}(\rho''_n\rho''_m a)(\rho'_n b)(\rho'_m c).\end{aligned}$$

The map $A\otimes A\otimes A\to A$ given by the rule $a\otimes b\otimes c\mapsto\sum_m(\rho''_m a)\rho'_m(bc)$ is clearly a morphism in H-mod. By Proposition 1.4 $D(A\otimes A\otimes A)\cong E\otimes E\otimes E$. It follows from the displayed equality that $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(\sum_m \rho''_m \otimes \rho'_m) = \sum_{m,n} \rho''_n\rho''_m \otimes \rho'_n \otimes \rho'_m$, and thus $(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})(\widetilde{R}) = \sum_{m,n} \rho'_n \otimes \rho'_m \otimes \rho''_n\rho''_m = \widetilde{R}_{13}\widetilde{R}_{23}$. Taking a in (2.4) to be a product of two elements, we deduce similarly that $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(\widetilde{R}) = \widetilde{R}_{13}\widetilde{R}_{12}$. We have thus constructed a map $R \mapsto \widetilde{R}$ in one direction. By symmetry between H and E there is also a map in the opposite direction which is clearly the inverse one. Next,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j} (r''_i r'_j a)(r'_i r''_j b) = \sum_{j,m} (\rho''_m r''_j b)(\rho'_m r'_j a) &= \sum_{j,m} (r''_j \rho''_m b)(r'_j \rho'_m a)\\ &= \sum_{m,n} (\rho''_n \rho'_m a)(\rho'_n \rho''_m b).\end{aligned}$$

If $R_{21}R = 1\otimes 1$ then the left hand side is equal to ab for all a, b, whence $\widetilde{R}_{21}\widetilde{R} = \sum_{m,n} \rho''_n\rho'_m \otimes \rho'_n\rho''_m = 1\otimes 1$.

Let $\tau \in D(U)$, $\sigma \in D(V)$, $u \in U$, $v \in V$. The commutativity of (2.5) is verified by the following calculation:

$$\sum_i \sigma(r''_i v)\tau(r'_i u) = \sum_i r''_i \sigma(v) r'_i \tau(u) = \sum_m \rho''_m \tau(u) \rho'_m \sigma(v)\,. \qquad \square$$

Until the end of this section we assume that R and $\widetilde{R}$ are mutually corresponding quasitriangular structures on H and E. The next result is a special case of Theorem 2.5. However it is much easier to handle this special case which will be eventually of main interest to us.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that A is central simple. If H is cocommutative and $R = 1\otimes 1$ then $\operatorname{rk}\widetilde{R} = \dim A$.*

Proof. Under the stated hypotheses equation (2.4) can be rewritten as

$$ba = \sum_m (\rho''_m a)(\rho'_m b).$$

It shows that the right multiplication by a in A is given by action of the element $\sum_m \rho''_m a \,\#\, \rho'_m \in A \,\#\, E$. Since $\sum_m \rho'_m \otimes \rho''_m \in \widetilde{R}_l \otimes \widetilde{R}_r$, we have

$$\sum_m \rho''_m a \,\#\, \rho'_m \in A \,\#\, \widetilde{R}_l.$$

Then the image of the canonical homomorphism $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}} \to \operatorname{End} A$ is contained in the image of $A \,\#\, \widetilde{R}_l$. Since A is central simple, one has $A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \operatorname{End} A$, whence the map $A \,\#\, \widetilde{R}_l \to \operatorname{End} A$ is surjective. The latter map is the restriction of the canonical homomorphism $A \,\#\, E \to \operatorname{End} A$ which is bijective since A is E^*-Galois. It follows that $\widetilde{R}_l = E$, and so $\operatorname{rk}\widetilde{R} = \dim \widetilde{R}_l = \dim E = \dim A$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *There is a homomorphism of algebras $\Phi : A_R \otimes A_R^{\mathrm{op}} \to A \,\#\, (H\otimes E)$ such that*

$$a\otimes 1 \mapsto u_a = \sum_m \rho''_m a \,\#\, (1\otimes \rho'_m), \qquad 1\otimes a \mapsto v_a = \sum_i r''_i a \,\#\, (r'_i \otimes 1)$$

for $a \in A$. If the algebra A_R is central simple then $\dim A \mid (\operatorname{rk} R)(\operatorname{rk}\widetilde{R})$.

Proof. We may regard A as an $(H\otimes E)$-module algebra since the actions of H and E on A commute. Let $\pi : A \,\#\, (H\otimes E) \to \operatorname{End} A$ be the canonical homomorphism.

If $a, b \in A$ then $\pi(v_a)(b) = T(b, a)$ where T is the multiplication in A_R. By (2.4) we have also $\pi(u_a)(b) = T(a, b)$. In other words, $\pi(v_a)$ and $\pi(u_a)$ coincide respectively with the right and the left multiplication operators in A_R. Identify H and E with the subalgebras $H \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes E$ of $H \otimes E$. The restriction of π to each of these two is an isomorphism since A is both H^*-Galois and E^*-Galois. Note that $u_a \in A \# E$ and $v_a \in A \# H$. It follows that $u_a u_b = u_{T(a,b)}$ and $v_a v_b = v_{T(b,a)}$ for all $a, b \in A$. Next,

$$v_a u_b = \sum_{i,j,n} (r_i'' r_j'' a)(r_i' \rho_n'' b) \# (r_j' \otimes \rho_n'),$$

$$u_b v_a = \sum_{j,m,n} (\rho_m'' \rho_n'' b)(\rho_m' r_j'' a) \# (r_j' \otimes \rho_n').$$

In view of (2.4) $v_a u_b = u_b v_a$. Hence the map Φ defined by the rule $a \otimes b \mapsto u_a v_b$ is a homomorphism of algebras.

We see that $\operatorname{Im} \Phi \subset B = A \# (R_l \otimes \widetilde{R}_l)$. Suppose that A_R is central simple. Then so are A_R^{op} and $A_R \otimes A_R^{\mathrm{op}}$ as well. Hence Φ is an embedding and $B \cong \operatorname{Im} \Phi \otimes C$ where C is the centralizer of $\operatorname{Im} \Phi$ in B by [15, Th. 4.7]. It follows that $\dim \operatorname{Im} \Phi \mid \dim B$. As $\dim \operatorname{Im} \Phi = (\dim A)^2$ and $\dim B = (\dim A)(\operatorname{rk} R)(\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{R})$, the final claim of the lemma is proved. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *If A is central simple then* $\dim A \mid (\operatorname{rk} R)(\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{R})$.

Proof. The passage from A to A_R can be reversed with the same construction. As is easily checked, R_{21}^{-1} is another quasitriangular structure on H [24, Exercise 2.1.3]. Letting $R^{-1} = \sum_j \bar{r}_j' \otimes \bar{r}_j''$, the multiplication in the algebra $(A_R)_{R_{21}^{-1}}$ is given by the formula

$$(a, b) \mapsto \sum_j T(\bar{r}_j' b, \bar{r}_j'' a) = \sum_{i,j} (r_i'' \bar{r}_j'' a)(r_i' \bar{r}_j' b) = ab,$$

which is the original multiplication in A. By Lemma 2.1 A_R is H^*-Galois and $\operatorname{End}_H A_R \cong E^{\mathrm{cop}}$. Let $\widetilde{R}^{-1} = \sum_n \bar{\rho}_n' \otimes \bar{\rho}_n''$. As

$$ab = \sum_{m,n} (\rho_m'' \bar{\rho}_n'' a)(\rho_m' \bar{\rho}_n' b) = \sum_n T(\bar{\rho}_n'' a, \bar{\rho}_n' b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$, formula (2.4) applied in case of the H^*-Galois algebra A_R shows that $\widetilde{R}^{-1}$ is the quasitriangular structure on E^{cop} corresponding to R_{21}^{-1}. Since $R^{-1} = \sum_i S(r_i') \otimes r_i''$ by [10], we have $\operatorname{rk} R_{21}^{-1} = \operatorname{rk} R$. Similarly, $\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{R}^{-1} = \operatorname{rk} \widetilde{R}$. It remains to apply Lemma 2.4 with A_R in place of A and R_{21}^{-1} in place of R. $\square$

Remark. At the other extreme when A is commutative (and H is necessarily cocommutative), one has $\operatorname{rk} R = \operatorname{rk} \widetilde{R}$.

3. The Miyashita-Ulbrich action and the square of the antipode

Given an H-Galois algebra, there is a canonically defined right action of H on the algebra under consideration [46], [8]. The next proposition restates several facts from [8, Th. 3.4], with H and H^* interchanged by our conventions. So let A be again an H^*-Galois algebra.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose B is an algebra containing A as a subalgebra. There is a unique right H^*-module structure on B such that*

$$ba = \sum_i (h_i a)(b h_i^*) \qquad \text{for all } a \in A \text{ and } b \in B \tag{3.1}$$

where $\{h_i\}$ and $\{h_i^*\}$ are dual bases of H and H^*, respectively. It makes B into an H^*-module algebra, and the subalgebra of invariants B^{H^*} coincides with the centralizer of A in B. Let $\xi \in H^*$. If $\sum_j v_j \otimes w_j \in A \otimes A$ is the preimage of $1 \otimes \xi$ under bijection (1.5)*, so that $\sum_j v_j(hw_j) = \langle \xi, h\rangle 1$ for all $h \in H$, then*

$$b\xi = \sum_j v_j b w_j \qquad \text{for all } b \in B. \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, A is a right H^*-module algebra in a natural way. The H^*-comodule structure on A is given by the map $a \mapsto \sum h_i a \otimes h_i^*$. Hence (3.1) is exactly the exchange rule from [46], [8]. The symmetry between H and H^* is apparent in (3.1). Formula (3.2) was used by Ulbrich [46] to define the module structure explicitly. This formula reflects the fact that the preimage of $1 \otimes H^*$ under bijection (1.5) is a subalgebra of the tensor product algebra $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$ isomorphic to $(H^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$. This can be further refined as follows:

Lemma 3.2. *Bijection γ given by* (1.5) *is an isomorphism between two algebra structures: $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} A \# (H^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$. If B is an algebra containing A as a subalgebra and $\widetilde{\pi} : A \# (H^*)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \operatorname{End} B$ is defined by $\widetilde{\pi}(a \# \xi)b = a(b\xi)$, then*

$$(\widetilde{\pi} \circ \gamma)(c \otimes a)(b) = cba, \qquad \text{where } a, c \in A,\ b \in B,\ \xi \in H^*.$$

Proof. As $\gamma(c \otimes a) = \sum_i c(h_i a) \# h_i^*$, the formula for $\widetilde{\pi} \circ \gamma$ follows at once from (3.1). We see that $\widetilde{\pi} \circ \gamma$ is an algebra homomorphism. Since $\widetilde{\pi}$ is also an algebra homomorphism, we can conclude that so is γ too provided that $\widetilde{\pi}$ is injective. Since γ is a bijection, $\widetilde{\pi}$ is injective if and only if so is $\widetilde{\pi} \circ \gamma$. Thus it suffices to embed A into a central simple algebra B. For instance, we may take $B = \operatorname{End} A$. ☐

Proposition 3.3. *The algebra A is separable if and only if A is H^*-projective. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *A is central simple,*

(ii) *A is left H-Galois with respect to the comodule structure $a \mapsto \sum_i h_i \otimes a h_i^*$,*

(iii) *A is H^*-projective and $A^{H^*} = k$.*

This is again just a special case of results in [46], [8]. A direct argument based on Lemma 3.2 runs as follows. First note that "A is H^*-projective" implies that A is D-projective where we put $D = A \# (H^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$. In fact A is a D-module direct summand of $M = D \otimes_{(H^*)^{\mathrm{op}}} A$ as the D-module map $A \to M,\ a \mapsto (a \# 1) \otimes 1$, has a left inverse $M \to A,\ d \otimes a \mapsto da$. Clearly M is D-projective when A is H^*-projective. Conversely, "A is D-projective" implies "A is H^*-projective" since D is a free left module over $(H^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$. In view of Lemma 3.2 A is H^*-projective if and only if A is $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$-projective. The latter condition is the defining property of separable algebras. Next, A is H-Galois if and only if $\widetilde{\pi} : A \# (H^*)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \operatorname{End} A$ is bijective, and A is central simple if and only if so is $\widetilde{\pi} \circ \gamma$. Hence (i)$\Leftrightarrow$(ii). As stated in Proposition 1.2 condition (ii) implies that A is a cyclic free H^*-module, whence (ii)$\Rightarrow$(iii). If (iii) holds then A is separable with center $A^{H^*} = k$, which gives (i).

Let $x \in H$ be a *left integral*, that is, a nonzero element such that $hx = \varepsilon(h)x$ for all $h \in H$. Since the space of left integrals is onedimensional, there is an algebra homomorphism $\alpha : H \to k$ such that $xh = \alpha(h)x$ for all $h \in H$. This defines a grouplike element $\alpha \in H^*$ with inverse $\alpha^{-1} = \alpha \circ S$. We call α the *modular function* on H. The Hopf algebra H is *unimodular* if $\alpha = \varepsilon$. We will use left and right actions of H^* on H given by

$$\xi \rightharpoonup h = \sum \langle \xi, h'' \rangle h', \qquad h \leftharpoonup \xi = \sum \langle \xi, h' \rangle h''. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $E = \operatorname{End}_H A$ as in the preceding sections. Likewise we may regard H as a subalgebra of $\operatorname{End} A$.

Proposition 3.4. *The linear function $\chi \in A^*$ defined by $\chi(a) = xa$ satisfies*

$$\chi(\varphi a) = \varepsilon(\varphi)\chi(a), \qquad \chi(ha) = \alpha(h)\chi(a) \tag{3.4}$$

for all $\varphi \in E$, $h \in H$ and $a \in A$. There exists an automorphism of finite order $\theta : A \to A$ such that

$$\chi(ba) = \chi(a \cdot \theta b) \qquad \text{for all } a, b \in A. \tag{3.5}$$

One has

$$\theta\varphi\theta^{-1} = S^2(\varphi), \qquad \theta h \theta^{-1} = S^2(\alpha^{-1} \rightharpoonup h \leftharpoonup \alpha). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Clearly $xA \subset A^H = k$, so that χ is well defined. Now $\chi(\varphi a) = \varphi(xa) = \chi(a)\varphi(1)$, which gives the first formula in (3.4). The second one is immediate from the definition of α. By Proposition 1.2 the bilinear form $(a, b) \mapsto \chi(ab)$ on A is nondegenerate. The existence of an automorphism θ satisfying (3.5) is a general property of Frobenius algebras (θ is the Nakayama automorphism of A). Since $(hb)a = \sum_{(h)} h'\big(b \cdot S(h'')a\big)$, it follows from (3.4) that

$$\chi\big((hb)a\big) = \chi\big(b \cdot S(h \leftharpoonup \alpha)a\big)\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Applying (3.5) to both sides, this can be rewritten as

$$\chi\big(a \cdot \theta(hb)\big) = \chi\big(S(h \leftharpoonup \alpha)a \cdot \theta b\big)\,.$$

Observe that $S(h \leftharpoonup \alpha) \leftharpoonup \alpha = S(\alpha^{-1} \rightharpoonup h \leftharpoonup \alpha)$. Using (3.7), the right hand side of the displayed equality above can be rewritten as $\chi\big(a \cdot S^2(\alpha^{-1} \rightharpoonup h \leftharpoonup \alpha)\theta b\big)$. The nondegeneracy of the bilinear form $(a, b) \mapsto \chi(ab)$ entails

$$\theta(hb) = S^2(\alpha^{-1} \rightharpoonup h \leftharpoonup \alpha)\theta b.$$

A similar computation with ε in place of α proves the first formula in (3.6). By [34] the antipode of the Hopf algebra E has finite order, say e. It follows then from (3.6) that θ^e centralizers all $\varphi \in E$, that is, $\theta^e \in \operatorname{End}_E A = H$. Since θ^e is an automorphism of A, it is a grouplike element in H by Lemma 1.7. Since the grouplike elements in H constitute a finite group, θ^e has finite order. Then so does θ too. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that $R = \sum_i r_i' \otimes r_i''$ and $\widetilde{R} = \sum_m \rho_m' \otimes \rho_m''$ are mutually corresponding quasitriangular structures on Hopf algebras H and E, respectively. Denote by $u = \sum_i S(r_i'')r_i'$ and $\delta = \sum_m S(\rho_m'')\rho_m'$ Drinfeld's elements in H and*

E. Then $\theta = \delta g_\alpha^{-1} S(u)^{-1}$ *where* $g_\alpha = \sum_i \alpha(r_i')r_i''$ *is a grouplike element in* H*. In particular,* $\theta = \delta \in E$ *when* H *is cocommutative and* $R = 1 \otimes 1$*.*

Proof. Apply χ to both sides of (2.4). As $\chi(\varphi a \cdot b) = \chi(a \cdot S(\varphi)b)$ in view of (3.4), we have

$$\chi(\sum_m \rho_m'' a \cdot \rho_m' b) = \chi(a \cdot \delta b). \tag{3.8}$$

Identity $b(ha) = \sum_{(h)} h''\bigl(S^{-1}(h')b \cdot a\bigr)$ together with (2.3) give

$$\sum_i (r_i''b)(r_i'a) = \sum_{i,j} r_j'\bigl(S^{-1}(r_i')r_i''r_j''b \cdot a\bigr).$$

Here $\sum_i S^{-1}(r_i')r_i'' = S^{-1}(u) = S(u)$ by [10]. Using (3.4) and then (3.5), we get

$$\chi(\sum_i r_i''b \cdot r_i'a) = \chi(S(u)g_\alpha b \cdot a) = \chi(a \cdot \theta S(u)g_\alpha b). \tag{3.9}$$

Comparison of (3.8) with (3.9) gives $\delta = \theta S(u) g_\alpha$ in $\operatorname{End} A$. □

Remark. Since $S(u)g_\alpha \in H$, equality (3.6) shows that $S^2(\varphi) = \delta\varphi\delta^{-1}$ for all $\varphi \in E$. This is known to hold in any quasitriangular Hopf algebra [10]. If H is cocommutative and $R = 1 \otimes 1$ then δ is an automorphism of A, hence a grouplike element in E. This is a general property of triangular Hopf algebras.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that* H *is cocommutative and* A *is central simple. If* $\eta \in A^*$ *is a linear function such that* $\ker \eta = [A, A]$*, then* $\eta(ha) = \varepsilon(h)\eta(a)$ *for all* $h \in H$ *and* $a \in A$*.*

Proof. The action of H on A is *inner*, that is, there exists a convolution invertible linear map $u : H \to A$ such that $ha = \sum_{(h)} u(h')au^{-1}(h'')$. This is proved in [4, Th. 2.15], for Hopf Galois extensions; more general results in [3], [19], [26] show that any measuring action of a coalgebra on a central simple algebra is inner. Using the identity $\eta(ab) = \eta(ba)$ and the cocommutativity of H, we obtain

$$\eta(ha) = \eta\bigl(a \sum_{(h)} u^{-1}(h'')u(h')\bigr) = \varepsilon(h)\eta(a).$$

□

Proposition 3.7. *If* H *is cocommutative and* A *is central simple then* θ *is given by the Miyashita-Ulbrich action of the modular function* $\alpha \in H^*$*.*

Proof. Since A is a cyclic free H-module and H is a Frobenius algebra, every simple H-module can be embedded in A. In particular, A contains a nonzero element w such that $hw = \alpha(h)w$ for all $h \in H$. As Aw is a nonzero submodule of the simple $A \# H$-module A, we must have $Aw = A$. This shows that w is left invertible, hence invertible in A. Similarly, A contains an invertible element v with the property that $hv = \alpha^{-1}(h)v$ for all $h \in H$. Now $h(vw) = \varepsilon(h)vw$, that is, $vw \in A^H = k$. We may assume after rescaling that $w = v^{-1}$. Since $v(hw) = \alpha(h)1$ for all h, formula (3.2) gives $b\alpha = vbw$ for $b \in A$.

Take η as in Lemma 3.6 (note that $[A, A]$ has codimension 1 in A). Define $\chi' \in A^*$ by $\chi'(a) = \eta(av)$. Then $\chi'(ha) = \eta(a \cdot S(h)v) = \alpha(h)\eta(av) = \alpha(h)\chi'(a)$ for all $h \in H$ and $a \in A$. Again by the properties of the H-module A the largest H-semisimple factor module of A contains all onedimensional H-modules with multiplicity 1. Hence χ' is a scalar multiple of χ. Rescaling η, we may achieve $\chi' = \chi$. Now

$$\chi(ba)=\eta(bav)=\eta(avb)=\chi(avbw)=\chi(a\cdot b\alpha).$$

The nondegeneracy of the bilinear form $(a,b)\mapsto\chi(ab)$ entails $\theta b=b\alpha$ for all $b\in A$. □

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose that H is cocommutative and A is central simple. Let n be the order of α in the group of invertible elements of H^*. The antipode S of the Hopf algebra $E=\operatorname{End}_H A$ satisfies $S^{2n}=\mathrm{id}$. If 1 is the only central grouplike element in H, then the order of S^2 equals n exactly.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 A is H-Galois. In particular, H^* operates faithfully in A. This shows together with Proposition 3.7 that θ has order n. Then $S^{2n}=\mathrm{id}$ by (3.6). Suppose that $S^{2e}=\mathrm{id}$ for some $e>0$. Then θ^e is a grouplike element of H, as we have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.4. On the other hand, $\theta\in E$ according to Proposition 3.5. Then $\theta^e\in H\cap E$, that is, θ^e belongs to the center of H. Under the assumption that H contains no nontrivial central grouplike elements we obtain $\theta^e=1$, that is, n divides e. □

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose that H is cocommutative and A is central simple. Let the triangular structure $\widetilde{R}=\sum_m\rho'_m\otimes\rho''_m$ on E correspond to $R=1\otimes1$. Then the Miyashita-Ulbrich action of E^* on A is given by the rule*

$$a\xi=\sum_m\langle\xi,\rho''_m\rangle\rho'_m a\qquad\text{for } a\in A \text{ and } \xi\in E^*. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Since the map $f:E^*\to E$ under which $\xi\mapsto\sum_m\langle\xi,\rho''_m\rangle\rho'_m$ is an antihomomorphism of algebras, formula (3.10) does define a right E^*-module structure. By Proposition 2.3 $\operatorname{rk}\widetilde{R}=\dim E$. We may assume therefore that $\{\rho''_m\}$ is a basis for E. Let $\{\xi_m\}$ be the dual basis for E^*. As $f(\xi_m)=\rho'_m$ for each m, formula (2.4) can be rewritten as $ba=\sum_m(\rho''_m a)(b\xi_m)$. This coincides with (3.1) written for E in place of H. □

4. Generating subspaces

Denote by H-alg (respectively, H-coalg) the category of finite dimensional left H-module algebras (respectively, left H-module coalgebras). If $\mu:B\otimes B\to B$ is the multiplication in $B\in H$-alg then, by passing to duals, we obtain an H-module homomorphism $\mu^*:B^*\to B^*\otimes B^*$ which makes B^* into a coalgebra. Because of the isomorphisms $(U\otimes V)^*\cong V^*\otimes U^*$ in H-mod, we must identify here $B^*\otimes B^*$ with the dual of $B\otimes B$ by means of the pairing $\langle\xi\otimes\eta,\,a\otimes b\rangle=\langle\xi,b\rangle\langle\eta,a\rangle$ for $\xi,\eta\in B^*$ and $a,b\in B$. Thus the coalgebra constructed is the dual of B^{op}. One can use right duals quite similarly. In this way we get two functors $L,R:H$-alg $\to H$-coalg for which $B\mapsto(B^{\mathrm{op}})^*$ and $B\mapsto{}^*(B^{\mathrm{op}})$, respectively. Both of them are equivalences with inverse functors $C\mapsto({}^*C)^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $C\mapsto(C^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$. All parallel constructions apply in case of the Hopf algebra E. In view of Proposition 1.4(iii) the duality D takes H-alg to E-coalg and H-coalg to E-alg. If $C\in H$-coalg then $D(C)=\operatorname{Hom}_H(C,A)$ is just a subalgebra of the convolution algebra $\operatorname{Hom}(C,A)$. If $B\in H$-alg then the comultiplication $\psi\mapsto\sum_{(\psi)}\psi'\otimes\psi''$ in $D(B)=\operatorname{Hom}_H(B,A)$ is characterized by means of the identity $\psi(bd)=\sum_{(\psi)}\psi'(b)\psi''(d)$ where $b,d\in B$.

Concerning the notations we use here one should keep in mind what part of structure is affected by them. For instance, B^{op} indicates the change of multiplication. As an H-module $B^{\mathrm{op}}=B$. In the same way the notations B^* and ${}^*B$ are used to distinguish two module structures without any relevance to the coalgebra structure.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $B \in H$-alg.*

(i) *The H-invariant elements of the algebras $B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes A$ and $A \otimes B^{\mathrm{op}}$ constitute their subalgebras isomorphic to $B' = D\big((B^{\mathrm{op}})^*\big)$ and $B'' = D\big({}^*(B^{\mathrm{op}})\big)$, respectively.*

(ii) *The E-module structures on B' and B'' correspond to the action of E on the second tensorand of $B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes A$ and the first tensorand of $A \otimes B^{\mathrm{op}}$.*

(iii) *The pairings $D(B) \times B' \to k$ and $B'' \times D(B) \to k$ of Proposition 1.4(iv) are given by*

$$\langle \psi, \sigma \rangle = \sum_i \psi(b_i) a_i, \qquad \langle \tau, \psi \rangle = \sum_j a'_j \psi(b'_j) \tag{4.1}$$

where $\sigma \in B'$ corresponds to $\sum_i b_i \otimes a_i \in (B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes A)^H$, $\tau \in B''$ corresponds to $\sum_j a'_j \otimes b'_j \in (A \otimes B^{\mathrm{op}})^H$, and $\psi \in D(B)$.

(iv) *If the algebra B is generated by an H-invariant subspace V, then $(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes A)^H$ is generated by $(V \otimes A)^H$ and $(A \otimes B^{\mathrm{op}})^H$ is generated by $(A \otimes V)^H$.*

Proof. By (1.1) $D(V^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_H(V^*, A) \cong (V \otimes A)^H$ for any $V \in H$-mod since ${}^*(V^*) = V$. Similarly, $D({}^*V) \cong (A \otimes V)^H$. This applies to $V = B$. On the other hand, both B' and B'' are subalgebras of the convolution algebra $\mathrm{Hom}(C, A)$, where $C = (B^{\mathrm{op}})^*$, isomorphic to $B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes A$. This shows that B' is embedded into $B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes A$ (resp., B'' into $A \otimes B^{\mathrm{op}}$) as a subalgebra. If $\varphi \in E$ and $\sigma \in B'$, then $\varphi\sigma$ is given by the juxtaposition of maps. When interpreting $\sigma : B^* \to A$ as an element of $B \otimes A$, this exactly corresponds to the action of φ on the second tensorand. Similarly for B''. Next we compute the pairings in (iii). By the hypotheses $\sigma(\xi) = \sum_i \langle \xi, b_i \rangle a_i$ for $\xi \in B^*$. Let $\{e_l\}$ and $\{e_l^*\}$ be dual bases of B and B^*. Then

$$\langle \psi, \sigma \rangle = \sum_l \psi(e_l)\sigma(e_l^*) = \sum_{i,l} \langle e_l^*, b_i \rangle \psi(e_l) a_i = \sum_i \psi(b_i) a_i.$$

The expression $\langle \tau, \psi \rangle = \sum_l \tau(e_l^*)\psi(e_l)$ is transformed similarly with a use of equality $\tau(\xi) = \sum_j \langle \xi, b'_j \rangle a'_j$. Under the hypotheses of (iv) let $G \subset B'$ be the subalgebra generated by the E-submodule $D(V^*) \subset B'$. Then G is stable under E, and so $G \in E$-alg. It follows that $G' = \big({}^*D^{-1}(G)\big)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a subalgebra of B containing V. Since V generates B, we get $G' = B$. Hence $G = B'$. In view of the identifications in (i) this gives exactly the first statement in (iv). The other one is similar. □

Proposition 4.2. *The diagrams below are commutative up to natural isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} H\text{-alg} \arrow[r, "L"] \arrow[d, "D"'] & H\text{-coalg} \arrow[d, "D"] \\ E\text{-coalg} & E\text{-alg} \arrow[l, "L"'] \end{tikzcd} , \qquad \begin{tikzcd} H\text{-alg} \arrow[r, "R"] \arrow[d, "D"'] & H\text{-coalg} \arrow[d, "D"] \\ E\text{-coalg} & E\text{-alg} \arrow[l, "R"'] \end{tikzcd} .$$

Proof. Let $B \in H$-alg and $C = (B^{\mathrm{op}})^*$. The pairing $D(B) \times D(C) \to k$ identifies $D(B)$ with the left dual of $D(C)$ in E-mod by Lemma 1.8. We have to check that the coalgebra structure on $D(B)$ correctly corresponds to the algebra structure on $D(C)$ under this pairing. Let $\psi \in D(B)$, and let $\sigma, \tau \in D(C)$ correspond to $\sum_i b_i \otimes a_i$ and $\sum_j d_j \otimes c_j$ in $(B^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes A)^H$ under identifications of Proposition 4.1. Then $\sum_{i,j} d_j b_i \otimes a_i c_j$ corresponds to $\sigma\tau$. By (4.1)

$$\langle\psi,\sigma\tau\rangle=\sum_{i,j}\psi(d_jb_i)a_ic_j=\sum_{i,j,(\psi)}\psi'(d_j)\psi''(b_i)a_ic_j=\sum_{(\psi)}\langle\psi',\tau\rangle\langle\psi'',\sigma\rangle,$$

that is, $D(B)$ is the dual of $D(C)^{\mathrm{op}}$. The commutativity of the second diagram is verified similarly. $\square$

Next we will evaluate the composite functors H-$\mathsf{alg}\to E$-alg at certain H-module algebras of particular interest. Note that H is a left H-module algebra with respect to the adjoint action of H, and so is H^* with respect to the action $\langle h\rightharpoonup\xi,g\rangle=\langle\xi,gh\rangle$ where $g,h\in H$ and $\xi\in H^*$. We use notations H_{ad} and $H^*_{\rightharpoonup}$ to specify these particular module structures.

Proposition 4.3. *One has the following isomorphisms in* E-alg:

(i) $D\big((A^{\mathrm{op}})^*\big)\cong D\big({}^*(A^{\mathrm{op}})\big)\cong E^*_{\rightharpoonup}$,

(ii) *if* $B=H^*_{\rightharpoonup}$ *then* $D\big((B^{\mathrm{op}})^*\big)\cong D\big({}^*(B^{\mathrm{op}})\big)\cong A$,

(iii) *if* $B=H_{\mathrm{ad}}$ *then* $D\big((B^{\mathrm{op}})^*\big)\cong D\big({}^*(B^{\mathrm{op}})\big)\cong E_{\mathrm{ad}}$.

Proof. According to Proposition 4.2 $D\big({}^*(A^{\mathrm{op}})\big)\cong\big(D(A)^*\big)^{\mathrm{op}}$. Next, $D(A)=E$. The action of E on $D(A)$ is that by left multiplications, and the coalgebra structure on E defined in section 1 is a particular case of those structures on $D(B)$ for $B\in H$-alg. The action of E in E^* used here is given by $\langle\varphi\rightharpoondown\xi,\psi\rangle=\langle\xi,S(\varphi)\psi\rangle$ where $\varphi,\psi\in E$ and $\xi\in E^*$. Since S is a Hopf algebra antiautomorphism, the assignment $\xi\mapsto\xi\circ S$ defines an isomorphism of algebras $(E^*)^{\mathrm{op}}\to E^*$. Under this bijection $(\varphi\rightharpoondown\xi)\circ S=\varphi\rightharpoonup(\xi\circ S)$ as both linear functions produce $\langle\xi,S(\varphi)S(\psi)\rangle$ when evaluated at ψ. Thus $(E^*)^{\mathrm{op}}\cong E^*_{\rightharpoonup}$ in E-alg. To prove the other isomorphism in (i) one should just replace S with S^{-1} everywhere above.

Replacing E with H in the argument above, we get $(H^*)^{\mathrm{op}}\cong H^*_{\rightharpoonup}$ in H-alg. Hence ${}^*(B^{\mathrm{op}})\cong H$ in H-coalg for $B=H^*_{\rightharpoonup}$. Similarly $(B^{\mathrm{op}})^*\cong H$. To prove (ii) it remains to check that $D(H)\cong A$ in E-alg. The assignment $a\mapsto\sigma_a$ where $\sigma_a(h)=ha$ for $a\in A$ and $h\in H$ gives a linear bijection $A\to D(H)$. If $b\in A$ then the equality $h(ab)=\sum_{(h)}(h'a)(h''b)$ shows that $\sigma_{ab}=\sigma_a\sigma_b$. The identity $\varphi(ha)=h(\varphi a)$ for $\varphi\in E$ gives $\varphi\sigma_a=\sigma_{\varphi a}$. Thus the multiplications and the E-module structures do correspond correctly.

Let us prove (iii). We regard $\operatorname{End}A$ as an H-module algebra with respect to the adjoint action $h\triangleright\varphi=\sum_{(h)}h'\circ\varphi\circ S(h'')$ where $h\in H$ and $\varphi\in\operatorname{End}A$. Note that $E=(\operatorname{End}A)^H$. The embedding $H_{\mathrm{ad}}\to\operatorname{End}A$ and the map $A\to\operatorname{End}A$ given by left multiplication operators a_L are morphisms in H-mod. Hence so too is the map $\pi:A\otimes H_{\mathrm{ad}}\to\operatorname{End}A$ defined in (1.3). It follows that π maps $(A\otimes H_{\mathrm{ad}})^H$ bijectively onto E. Let $x=\sum_i a_i\otimes h_i$ and $y=\sum_j c_j\otimes g_j$ be two elements in $(A\otimes H_{\mathrm{ad}})^H$. We get

$$\pi(x)\pi(y)=\sum_i(a_i)_L\circ h_i\circ\pi(y)=\sum_i(a_i)_L\circ\pi(y)\circ h_i=\sum_{i,j}(a_ic_j)_L\circ g_jh_i.$$

This shows that $(A\otimes H_{\mathrm{ad}})^H$ regarded as a subalgebra of $A\otimes H^{\mathrm{op}}$ is isomorphic to E as an algebra under π. Proposition 4.1(i) with $B=H_{\mathrm{ad}}$ yields now $D\big({}^*(B^{\mathrm{op}})\big)\cong E$. If x is as before and $\varphi\in E$ then

$$\sum_i(\varphi a_i)_L\circ h_i=\sum_{i,(\varphi)}\varphi'\circ(a_i)_L\circ S(\varphi'')\circ h_i=\sum_{i,(\varphi)}\varphi'\circ(a_i)_L\circ h_i\circ S(\varphi'')=\varphi\triangleright\pi(x).$$

Hence the required action of E on itself is exactly the adjoint one. To obtain the second isomorphism in (iii) one has to use the H-module map $\nu:H_{\mathrm{ad}}\otimes A\to\operatorname{End}A$

such that $h\otimes a\mapsto h\circ a_L$ instead of π. The map ν is bijective since $\nu=\pi\circ\omega$ where $\omega: H_{\rm ad}\otimes A\to A\otimes H_{\rm ad}$ is a linear bijection defined by $h\otimes a\mapsto\sum_{(h)}h'a\otimes h''$ with inverse $a\otimes h\mapsto\sum_{(h)}h''\otimes S^{-1}(h')a$. $\square$

Remark. Observe the appearance of $(E^*)^{\rm op}$ as an intermediate link in (i). In view of Proposition 4.1 the second isomorphism in (i) can be rewritten as $(A\otimes A^{\rm op})^H\cong(E^*)^{\rm op}$. If $\sum_j a'_j\otimes b'_j\in(A\otimes A)^H$ corresponds to $\xi\in E^*$ under this isomorphism then, by (iii) of Proposition 4.1, $\langle\xi,\psi\rangle=\sum_j a'_j(\psi b'_j)$ for all $\psi\in E$. This together with (3.2) shows that we recover the embedding of $(E^*)^{\rm op}$ in $A\otimes A^{\rm op}$ that gives rise to the Miyashita-Ulbrich action of E^*, that is, $c\xi=\sum_j a'_jcb'_j$ for all $c\in A$. One has by symmetry $(A\otimes A^{\rm op})^E\cong(H^*)^{\rm op}$.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose that $V\subset H_{\rm ad}$ is an H-submodule which generates H as an algebra, and let $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e_i^*\}$ be dual bases for V and V^*. Then E is generated as an algebra by the operators*

$$\Psi_\tau=\sum_i\pi\big(\tau(e_i^*)\otimes e_i\big),\qquad\tau\in D({}^*V).\tag{4.2}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.3(iii) π maps the algebra $B''=(A\otimes B^{\rm op})^H$ where $B=H_{\rm ad}$ isomorphically onto E. By Proposition 4.1(iv) B'' is generated by $(A\otimes V)^H$. Finally, $(A\otimes V)^H\cong D({}^*V)$ in such a way that $\sum_i\tau(e_i^*)\otimes e_i$ corresponds to τ. $\square$

Let $\sigma\in D(V)$ and $\tau\in D({}^*V)$ where $V\in H$-mod. Suppose that $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e_i^*\}$ are dual bases for V and V^*, respectively. Applying $\tau\otimes\sigma$ to the H-invariant element $\sum_i e_i^*\otimes e_i\in{}^*V\otimes V$, we get $\sum_i\tau(e_i^*)\otimes\sigma(e_i)\in(A\otimes A)^H$. Define $\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma}\in E^*$ by

$$\langle\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma},\varphi\rangle=\sum_i\tau(e_i^*)\cdot\varphi\sigma(e_i)\qquad\text{for }\varphi\in E\tag{4.3}$$

(note that the right hand side belongs to $A^H=k$). Suppose that $\{\tau_j\}$ and $\{\sigma_j\}$ are dual bases of $D(V)$ and $D({}^*V)$ with respect to the pairing $D({}^*V)\times D(V)\to k$ of Proposition 1.4(iv).

Proposition 4.5. *For $\sigma\in D(V)$, $\tau\in D({}^*V)$ and $\varphi\in E$ one has*

$$\langle\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma},S(\varphi)\rangle=\sum_i\varphi\tau(e_i^*)\cdot\sigma(e_i),\tag{4.4}$$

$$\varphi\sigma=\sum_j\langle\Upsilon_{\tau_j\sigma},\varphi\rangle\sigma_j,\qquad\qquad\varphi\tau=\sum_j\langle\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma_j},S(\varphi)\rangle\tau_j,\tag{4.5}$$

$$\varepsilon(\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma})=\langle\tau,\sigma\rangle,\qquad\qquad\Delta(\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma})=\sum_j\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma_j}\otimes\Upsilon_{\tau_j\sigma}.\tag{4.6}$$

Proof. One rewrites the right hand side of (4.4) as

$$\varphi'\big(\sum_{i,(\varphi)}\tau(e_i^*)\cdot S(\varphi'')\sigma(e_i)\big)=\sum_{i,(\varphi)}\langle\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma},S(\varphi'')\rangle\varphi'(1),$$

which collapses to $\langle\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma},S(\varphi)\rangle$ since $\varphi'(1)=\varepsilon(\varphi')$. Using Proposition 1.4(v) with ${}^*V$ in place of V, we obtain

$$\varphi\sigma(v)=\sum_i\langle v,e_i^*\rangle\varphi\sigma(e_i)=\sum_{i,j}\sigma_j(v)\tau_j(e_i^*)\varphi\sigma(e_i)=\sum_j\langle\Upsilon_{\tau_j\sigma},\varphi\rangle\sigma_j(v),$$

$$\varphi\tau(\xi)=\sum_i\langle e_i,\xi\rangle\varphi\tau(e_i^*)=\sum_{i,j}\varphi\tau(e_i^*)\sigma_j(e_i)\tau_j(\xi)=\sum_j\langle\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma_j},S(\varphi)\rangle\tau_j(\xi)$$

for all $v \in V$ and $\xi \in V^*$, whence (4.5). Substituting $\varphi = \mathrm{id}$ in (4.3) yields the first formula in (4.6). The last formula follows from the computation below, where we take $\varphi, \psi \in E$ and use (4.3) and (4.5):

$$\langle \Upsilon_{\tau\sigma}, \varphi\psi \rangle = \sum_i \tau(e_i^*)\varphi\psi\sigma(e_i) = \sum_{i,j} \langle \Upsilon_{\tau_j\sigma}, \psi \rangle \tau(e_i^*)\varphi\sigma_j(e_i) = \sum_j \langle \Upsilon_{\tau\sigma_j}, \varphi \rangle \langle \Upsilon_{\tau_j\sigma}, \psi \rangle.$$

Proposition 4.6. *If $V \subset A$ is an H-invariant subspace which generates the algebra A and $\iota : V \to A$ is the inclusion map, then E^* is generated as an algebra by the elements $\Upsilon_{\tau\iota}$ with $\tau \in D({}^*V)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3 the algebra $A'' = (A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})^H$ is isomorphic to E^*. Under this isomorphism the invariant $\sum_i \tau(e_i^*) \otimes \sigma(e_i)$ goes to $\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma} \circ S$ (see the remark following Proposition 4.3). Take $\sigma = \iota$ and apply Proposition 4.1(iv). $\square$

Remark. One can generalize the statement above as follows. If $V \in H\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ and $\sigma \in D(V)$ is such that $\sigma(V)$ generates the algebra A, then the set $\{\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma} \mid \tau \in D({}^*V)\}$ generates the algebra E^*. Quite similarly, $\{\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma} \mid \sigma \in D(V)\}$ generates E^* as long as $\tau({}^*V)$ generates A.

Suppose next that H is cocommutative. In this case ${}^*V = V^*$ for all $V \in H\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$. Given $\sigma \in D(V)$ and $\tau \in D(V^*)$, define $\Phi_{\tau\sigma} : A \to A$ by the rule

$$\Phi_{\tau\sigma}(a) = \sum_i \tau(e_i^*)a\sigma(e_i) \qquad \text{for } a \in A. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $\widetilde{R} = \sum_m \rho'_m \otimes \rho''_m$ be the triangular structure on E corresponding to $R = 1 \otimes 1$. Applying (2.4), we get $\Phi_{\tau\sigma}(a) = \sum_{i,m} \tau(e_i^*)\rho''_m\sigma(e_i)\rho'_m(a)$. Thus $\Phi_{\tau\sigma} = f(\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma})$ where $f : E^* \to E$ is the map defined by $\xi \mapsto \sum_m \langle \xi, \rho''_m \rangle \rho'_m$. In particular, $\Phi_{\tau\sigma} \in E$. Since f is a homomorphism of coalgebras, (4.6) translates into

$$\varepsilon(\Phi_{\tau\sigma}) = \langle \tau, \sigma \rangle, \qquad \Delta(\Phi_{\tau\sigma}) = \sum_j \Phi_{\tau\sigma_j} \otimes \Phi_{\tau_j\sigma}. \tag{4.8}$$

Proposition 4.7. *Suppose that H is cocommutative and A is central simple. Denote by $C_V \subset E$ the subcoalgebra spanned by $\{\Phi_{\tau\sigma} \mid \sigma \in D(V), \tau \in D(V^*)\}$. Then the assignment $V \mapsto C_V$ gives a bijection between the isomorphism classes of irreducible H-modules and the simple subcoalgebras of E. If k is algebraically closed then* $\dim C_V = (\dim V)^2$ *for each irreducible V.*

Proof. The irreducible left H-modules are in a bijective correspondence with the irreducible left E-modules under the duality D. The latter correspond bijectively to simple right E^*-comodules, and those to simple subcoalgebras of E^*. By (4.5) the comodule structure on $D(V)$ is given by $\sigma \mapsto \sum_j \sigma_j \otimes \Upsilon_{\tau_j\sigma}$. It follows that the subcoalgebra $C^V \subset E^*$ corresponding to $D(V)$ is spanned by $\Upsilon_{\tau\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in D(V)$ and $\tau \in D(V^*)$. It is therefore simple when V is irreducible. Since $\mathrm{rk}\,\widetilde{R} = \dim E$ by Proposition 2.3, the map $f : E^* \to E$ is bijective. It is therefore a coalgebra isomorphism, and so it maps simple subcoalgebras of E^* to simple subcoalgebras of E. Observe that $f(C^V) = C_V$. Finally, $\dim C^V = (\dim D(V))^2 = (\dim V)^2$ when k is algebraically closed. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose that H is cocommutative and A is central simple. If $V \subset A$ is an H-invariant subspace which generates the algebra A and $\iota : V \to A$*

is the inclusion map, then E is generated as an algebra by the operators $\Phi_{\tau\iota}$ with $\tau \in D(V^*)$.

Proof. Since $f : E^* \to E$ is bijective, it is an antiisomorphism of algebras. So the statement follows at once from Proposition 4.6. $\square$

Proposition 4.9. *Suppose that H is cocommutative. If $\tilde{c}$ is the braiding of E-mod determined by $\widetilde{R}$, then*

$$\tilde{c}_{D(V)D(V)}(\sigma \otimes \sigma') = \sum_j \sigma_j \otimes \Phi_{\tau_j \sigma'} \sigma \qquad \text{for } \sigma, \sigma' \in D(V), \tag{4.9}$$

$$\tilde{c}_{D(V^*)D(V^*)}(\tau \otimes \tau') = \sum_j \Phi_{\tau \sigma_j} \tau' \otimes \tau_j \qquad \text{for } \tau, \tau' \in D(V^*). \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. Recall that $D(V) \otimes D(V) \cong D(V \otimes V)$ as described in Proposition 1.4(iii). So we have to evaluate both sides of (4.9) at $u \otimes v$ where $u, v \in V$. Now

$$\sigma(v)\sigma'(u) = \sum_i \langle u, e_i^* \rangle \sigma(v)\sigma'(e_i) = \sum_{i,j} \sigma_j(u)\tau_j(e_i^*)\sigma(v)\sigma'(e_i)$$

by Proposition 1.4(v). In view of (2.5) this verifies identity (4.9) since $c_{VV} : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ is just the exchange of tensorands. Similarly, (4.10) is obtained by taking $\xi, \eta \in V^*$ and checking that

$$\tau(\eta)\tau'(\xi) = \sum_i \langle e_i, \eta \rangle \tau(e_i^*)\tau'(\xi) = \sum_{i,j} \tau(e_i^*)\tau'(\xi)\sigma_j(e_i)\tau_j(\eta).$$

$\square$

Using the bijection $f : E^* \to E$ we can transfer to E the two E-module structures on E^* given by $\varphi \rightharpoonup \xi = \sum_{(\xi)} \langle \xi'', \varphi \rangle \xi'$ and $\varphi \rightharpoondown \xi = \sum_{(\xi)} \langle \xi', S(\varphi) \rangle \xi''$ where $\varphi \in E$, $\xi \in E^*$ and $\Delta\xi = \sum_{(\xi)} \xi' \otimes \xi''$. Denote the E-module structures on E obtained in this way likewise by $\rightharpoonup$ and $\rightharpoondown$. Thus

$$\varphi \rightharpoonup f(\xi) = f(\varphi \rightharpoonup \xi) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi \rightharpoondown f(\xi) = f(\varphi \rightharpoondown \xi) \tag{4.11}$$

Proposition 4.10. *Suppose that H is cocommutative and A is central simple. The action $\rightharpoondown$ makes E into a left E-module algebra. Given $\sigma \in D(V)$ and $\tau \in D(V^*)$ where $V \in H$-mod, one has*

$$\varphi \rightharpoonup \Phi_{\tau\sigma} = \Phi_{\varphi\tau,\, \sigma} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi \rightharpoondown \Phi_{\tau\sigma} = \Phi_{\tau,\, \varphi\sigma}. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from the fact that $(E^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a left E-module algebra with respect to $\rightharpoondown$ and f is an algebra isomorphism of $(E^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$ onto E. In view of (4.6) and (4.5)

$$\varphi \rightharpoonup \Upsilon_{\tau\sigma} = \sum_j \langle \Upsilon_{\tau_j \sigma}, \varphi \rangle \Upsilon_{\tau \sigma_j} = \Upsilon_{\tau,\, \varphi\sigma},$$

$$\varphi \rightharpoondown \Upsilon_{\tau\sigma} = \sum_j \langle \Upsilon_{\tau \sigma_j}, S(\varphi) \rangle \Upsilon_{\tau_j \sigma} = \Upsilon_{\varphi\tau,\, \sigma}.$$

Applying f and using (4.11), we deduce (4.12). $\square$

5. Quantum Lie algebras and enveloping algebras

Suppose that $R = \sum_i r'_i \otimes r''_i$ is a triangular structure on H. Then for every $V \in H\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ and $n > 0$ the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ operates on $V^{\otimes n}$ via H-module automorphisms. Precisely, if $t_p \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the transposition of p and $p+1$ for some $0 < p < n$, then the action of t_p in $V^{\otimes n}$ is given by the operator $\mathrm{id} \otimes c_{VV} \otimes \mathrm{id}$ where $c_{VV} : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ is the braiding map in H-mod applied to the pth and $(p+1)$th tensorands of $V^{\otimes n}$. For $s \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ denote by s_V the corresponding transformation of $V^{\otimes n}$. We will now specialize the definition of Lie algebras in symmetric tensor categories proposed by Manin [25, Ch. 12]:

Definition 5.1. *A Lie algebra in* H-mod *is a pair* (L, λ) *where* L *is an object and* $\lambda : L \otimes L \to L$ *a morphism in* H-mod *satisfying*

(i) *Quantum anticommutativity:* λ *is zero on the subspace of* $\mathfrak{S}_2$*-fixed elements in* $L \otimes L$*;*

(ii) *Quantum Jacobi identity:* $\lambda \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \lambda) \circ (\mathrm{id} + z_L + z_L^2) = 0$ *on* $L^{\otimes 3}$ *where* $z \in \mathfrak{S}_3$ *is the cyclic permutation* (123).

The permutation $z = (12)(23)$ operates in $L^{\otimes 3}$ as $(c_{LL} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes c_{LL}) = c_{L \otimes L, L}$. By the naturality of the braiding one has $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \lambda) \circ z_L = c_{LL} \circ (\lambda \otimes \mathrm{id})$. Since $\mathrm{id} + z_L + z_L^2 = z_L \circ (\mathrm{id} + z_L + z_L^2)$ and $\lambda \circ c_{LL} = -\lambda$ by the anticommutativity, the quantum Jacobi identity admits an equivalent formulation:

$$\lambda \circ (\lambda \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\mathrm{id} + z_L + z_L^2) = 0.$$

By a *quantum Lie algebra* we mean a Lie algebra in H-mod for an arbitrary triangular Hopf algebra, and we use brackets to denote the multiplication in quantum Lie algebras. A relevant notion due to Majid [23] does not require the tensor category to be symmetric but involves more axioms. The morphisms of Lie algebras in H-mod are defined in an obvious way. Any $B \in H$-alg can be made into a quantum Lie algebra by means of the operation

$$[b, d]_q = bd - \sum_i (r''_i d)(r'_i b) \qquad \text{for } b, d \in B. \tag{5.1}$$

Definition 5.2. *An enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra* L *in* H-mod *is a pair* (B, ι) *where* $B \in H$-alg *and* $\iota : L \to B$ *is an embedding of Lie algebras in* H-mod *such that* $\iota(L)$ *generates* B *as an associative algebra.*

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that* (B, ι) *is an enveloping algebra of* L*. Let* $\{e_i\}$ *be a basis for* L *and* $x_i = \iota(e_i)$ *for each* i*. Suppose that* $c_{LL}(e_i \otimes e_j) = \sum_{i',j'} R_{ij}^{i'j'} e_{j'} \otimes e_{i'}$ *and* $[e_i, e_j] = \sum_l \gamma_{ij}^l e_l$ *where* $R_{ij}^{i'j'}, \gamma_{ij}^l \in k$*. Then*

$$x_i x_j - \sum_{i',j'} R_{ij}^{i'j'} x_{j'} x_{i'} = \sum_l \gamma_{ij}^l x_l\,. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. We have $c_{BB}(x_i \otimes x_j) = \sum_{i',j'} R_{ij}^{i'j'} x_{j'} \otimes x_{i'}$ since ι is a morphism in H-mod. This shows that the left hand side of (5.2) coincides with the quantum Lie product $[x_i, x_j]_q$ defined in (5.1). Now (5.2) just expresses the property that ι is a morphism of quantum Lie algebras. □

Let us return to the settings (1.7). Suppose that R and $\widetilde{R}$ are mutually corresponding triangular structures on H and $E = \mathrm{End}_H A$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $V \in H\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ *and* $s \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. *Denote by* $u \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ *the involution that reverses the order of* $1, \dots, n$.

(i) *Under the isomorphism* $D(V^{\otimes n}) \cong D(V)^{\otimes n}$ *of Proposition* 1.4(iii) $D(s_V)$ *corresponds to* $s^{-1}_{D(V)}$.

(ii) *Under the canonical isomorphisms* $(V^{\otimes n})^* \cong (V^*)^{\otimes n}$ *and* ${}^*(V^{\otimes n}) \cong ({}^*V)^{\otimes n}$ *in* $H\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ *the operator* s^*_V *corresponds to* $(us^{-1}u^{-1})_{V^*}$ *and* ${}^*s_V$ *to* $(us^{-1}u^{-1})_{{}^*V}$.

Proof. It suffices to consider only transpositions $s = t_p$ (since $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is generated by those). In case (i) the assertion follows from the commutativity of diagram (2.5). For left duals we use the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V^* \otimes W^* \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "c_{V^*W^*}"'] & (W \otimes V)^* \arrow[d, "c^*_{VW}"] \\
W^* \otimes V^* \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] & (V \otimes W)^*
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $V, W \in H\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$. The commutativity of this diagram is a general property of braided tensor categories. In case of Hopf algebras this property reduces to the identity $\sum_i \langle \xi, S(r'_i)v\rangle\langle \eta, S(r''_i)w\rangle = \sum_i \langle \xi, r'_i v\rangle\langle \eta, r''_i w\rangle$ where $\xi \in V^*$, $\eta \in W^*$, $v \in V$, $w \in W$. The latter does hold since $(S \otimes S)(R) = R$ [10]. The isomorphisms in (ii) reverse the tensorands, and therefore $(t_p)^*_V$ corresponds to $(t_{n-p-1})_{V^*}$. The case of right duals is similar. $\square$

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that* (L, λ) *is a Lie algebra in* $H\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$. *Then:*

(i) $\big(D(L^*), D(\lambda^*)\big)$ *and* $\big(D({}^*L), D({}^*\lambda)\big)$ *are Lie algebras in* $E\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$.

(ii) *If* (B, ι) *is an enveloping algebra of* L, *then* $\big(D\big((B^{\mathrm{op}})^*\big), D(\iota^*)\big)$ *is an enveloping algebra of* $D(L^*)$ *and* $\big(D\big({}^*(B^{\mathrm{op}})\big), D({}^*\iota)\big)$ *that of* $D({}^*L)$.

(iii) *If* A (*respectively,* $H^*_{\rightharpoonup}$, H_{ad}) *is an enveloping algebra of* L, *then* $E^*_{\rightharpoonup}$ (*respectively,* A, E_{ad}) *is an enveloping algebra of both* $D(L^*)$ *and* $D({}^*L)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda' = D(\lambda^*)$ and $L' = D(L^*)$. The fixed point subspace $I = (L \otimes L)^{\mathfrak{S}_2}$ can be characterized as the kernel of the transformation $\mathrm{id} - t_L$ where $t \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ is the transposition. Since the functor $D(?^*)$ is exact, it follows from Lemma 5.4 that $D(I^*)$ coincides with the subspace of $\mathfrak{S}_2$-fixed elements in $L' \otimes L'$. Clearly λ' is zero on $D(I^*)$. Since $\{e, z, z^2\}$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_3$, the functor $D(?^*)$ transforms the quantum Jacobi identity for L into the identity $\lambda' \circ (\lambda' \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\mathrm{id} + z_{L'} + z^2_{L'}) = 0$.

Let $\mu : B \otimes B \to B$ denote the associative multiplication. Put $B' = D(B^*)$ and $\mu' = D(\mu^*)$. The quantum Lie multiplication in B is $\mu - \mu \circ c_{BB}$ and that in B' is $\mu' - \mu' \circ \tilde{c}_{B'B'}$. These two correspond to one another under the functor $D(?^*)$. By functoriality $\iota' = D(\iota^*)$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras in $E\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$. It is an embedding since D is exact. The image of ι' generates B' by Proposition 4.1(iv). Right duals are treated similarly. Part (iii) follows from Proposition 4.3. $\square$

6. Reduced enveloping algebras as Galois algebras

Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ a finite dimensional p-Lie algebra over k. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ denote by $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ the corresponding reduced enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is the factor algebra of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by its ideal generated by central elements $x^p - x^{[p]} - \xi(x)^p 1$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. We identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with a Lie subalgebra in each $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$. There is an algebra homomorphism

$$\Delta : U_\xi(\mathfrak{g}) \to U_0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_\xi(\mathfrak{g}), \qquad x \mapsto 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1 \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{6.1}$$

In particular, Δ makes $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ into a cocommutative Hopf algebra, and each $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is a $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$-comodule algebra. Next, the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on itself extends to a restricted action of $\mathfrak{g}$ by derivations on $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$. In general, if $\mathfrak{g}$ operates as a p-Lie algebra of derivations on an algebra A, one may regard A as a left $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$-module algebra. We say that A is $\mathfrak{g}$-*Galois* if A is $U_0(\mathfrak{g})^*$-Galois with respect to the corresponding comodule structure.

Proposition 6.1. *The algebra $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-Galois if and only if $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is central simple. In this case the comodule structure* (6.1) *corresponds to the Miyashita-Ulbrich action of $U_0(\mathfrak{g})^*$.*

Proof. Let $H = U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ and $A = U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$. There is then an isomorphism of algebras $\nu : A \# H \to A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$ defined by $\nu(u \# 1) = u \otimes 1$ and $\nu(1 \# x) = x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x$ for $u \in A$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ (one can easily construct the inverse). If $\kappa : A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}} \to \operatorname{End} A$ is the canonical homomorphism given by left and right multiplications, then $\kappa \circ \nu$ coincides with (1.3). Hence κ is bijective if and only if so is map (1.3). This proves the first assertion. As follows from (3.1), the comodule structure $b \mapsto \sum_i h_i \otimes bh_i^*$ corresponding to the Miyashita-Ulbrich action is characterized by the condition that $\pi'(\sum_i bh_i^* \otimes h_i)$, where π' is defined in (1.4), is the left multiplication by b in A. Since $xu = (\operatorname{ad} x)u + ux$, we see that the left multiplication by $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ coincides with $\pi'(1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1)$. Hence (6.1) gives this comodule structure on elements of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by $\mathfrak{g}$, formula (6.1) determines the structure of a comodule algebra uniquely. ☐

Remark. The algebra $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$-Galois with respect to (6.1) for any $\mathfrak{g}$ and ξ. Furthermore, the corresponding Miyashita-Ulbrich action is exactly the adjoint action of $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ on $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence Proposition 6.1 is an instance of general results in [46] and [8] which were reproduced in Proposition 3.3.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is Frobenius then $\dim \mathfrak{g}$ is even and the maximum dimension of irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-modules over an algebraically closed extension field of k equals $p^{\frac{1}{2}\dim \mathfrak{g}}$ by [27] or [33, Th. 4.4]. Note that $\dim U_\eta(\mathfrak{g}) = p^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$ for every $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by the PBW theorem. In case of an algebraically closed k this means that the algebras $U_\eta(\mathfrak{g})$ are simple for all η in a nonempty Zariski open subset of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. This provides a plentiful supply of central simple $\mathfrak{g}$-Galois algebras. Proposition 6.2 below adapts to characteristic p one of the arguments due to Ooms [32, Th. 3.3] who studied Frobenius Lie algebras in characteristic 0. Recall that $\mathfrak{g}$ is *unimodular* if $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} x$ has trace 0 for every $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 6.2. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is Frobenius and unimodular, then* $\dim \mathfrak{g} \equiv 0 \pmod{2p}$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a linear function such that the associated alternating bilinear form β_ξ is nondegenerate. Then the map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by $x \mapsto \xi \circ (\operatorname{ad} x)$ is bijective. There exists $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\xi \circ (\operatorname{ad} x) = \xi$, that is, $\xi([xy]) = \xi(y)$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Consider the weight space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ with respect to the adjoint transformation $\operatorname{ad} x$. Here

$$\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid (\operatorname{ad} x - \lambda \operatorname{id})^n y = 0\}$$

for $\lambda \in k$ and sufficiently big n. Our choice of x ensures that $\xi(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda) = 0$ whenever $\lambda \neq 1$, and so $\xi([\mathfrak{g}_\lambda \mathfrak{g}_\mu]) = 0$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in k$ such that $\lambda + \mu \neq 1$. The restriction of

β_ξ induces a nondegenerate pairing $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda \times \mathfrak{g}_{1-\lambda} \to k$ for each $\lambda \in k$. This shows that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{1-\lambda}$. If $2\lambda = 1$ then $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is even as $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ admits a nondegenerate alternating bilinear form. Hence $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} x) = \sum_\lambda (\dim \mathfrak{g}_\lambda)\lambda = \frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{2} 1$. If $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} x) = 0$, then $\frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. $\square$

Further on we assume that $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is central simple and $E_\xi = \operatorname{End}_\mathfrak{g} U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 6.3. *Under the above assumption one has:*

(i) *There is a unique comultiplication on E_ξ with respect to which E_ξ is a Hopf algebra and $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is a left E_ξ-module algebra.*

(ii) *There is a triangular structure $\widetilde{R} = \sum_m \rho'_m \otimes \rho''_m$ of maximal rank on E_ξ such that $uv = \sum_m (\rho''_m v)(\rho'_m u)$ for all $u, v \in U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$. In particular, $E_\xi^* \cong E_\xi^{\mathrm{op}}$ as Hopf algebras.*

(iii) *The antipode S of E_ξ has order $2p$ or 2 (with the exception of the case $\mathfrak{g} = 0$ when $S = \mathrm{id}$). Moreover, $S^2 = \mathrm{id}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is unimodular.*

Proof. Assertions (i) and (ii) are special cases of Theorem 1.6, Propositions 2.2 and 2.3. The order of the antipode is determined in Theorem 3.8. The Hopf algebra $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by primitive elements, and so it is pointed irreducible. In particular, it contains a single grouplike element. The modular function $\alpha : U_0(\mathfrak{g}) \to k$ is determined by $\alpha(x) = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}_\mathfrak{g} x)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ (cf. [16, Remark in I.9.7]). In particular, $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is unimodular if and only if so is $\mathfrak{g}$ [22, p. 91]. According to (6.1) the Miyashita-Ulbrich action of α on $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by $x\alpha = x + \alpha(x)1$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence $x\alpha^p = x + p\alpha(x)1 = x$ for all x. Since α operates as an automorphism, we have $\alpha^p = 1$. Thus the order of α is either p or 1. Finally, note that the order of S is even unless E_ξ, hence also $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ are commutative, which is only possible when $\mathfrak{g} = 0$. $\square$

As explained in Proposition 1.4, there is a duality D between $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$-mod and E_ξ-mod. We will use the E_ξ-module $D(V) = \operatorname{Hom}_\mathfrak{g}\big(V, U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})\big)$ when V is either the adjoint $\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathfrak{g}$ or the coadjoint one $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Let $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e_i^*\}$ be dual bases for $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Recall that the nondegenerate pairing $D(\mathfrak{g}^*) \times D(\mathfrak{g}) \to k$ is defined by the formula $\langle \tau, \sigma \rangle = \sum_i \tau(e_i^*)\sigma(e_i)$ for $\tau \in D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $\sigma \in D(\mathfrak{g})$. Both Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.8 describe generating subspaces for the algebra E_ξ. We may take $V = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\iota : \mathfrak{g} \to U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ the canonical embedding. Then $\Psi_\tau, \Phi_{\tau\iota} \in E_\xi$ are defined for all $\tau \in D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. As

$$\Psi_\tau u = \sum_i \tau(e_i^*)(\operatorname{ad} e_i)u = \sum_i \tau(e_i^*)e_i u - \sum_i \tau(e_i^*)u e_i = \langle \tau, \iota \rangle u - \Phi_{\tau\iota} u$$

for all $u \in U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$, one sees that $\Psi_\tau = \langle \tau, \iota \rangle \,\mathrm{id} - \Phi_{\tau\iota}$. Consider the triangular structure $\widetilde{R}$ on E_ξ corresponding to the triangular structure $R = 1 \otimes 1$ on $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 6.4. *$D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a Lie algebra in E_ξ-mod with respect to the multiplication*

$$[\tau, \tau'](\xi) = \sum_{i,j} \langle \xi, [e_j, e_i] \rangle \tau(e_i^*)\tau'(e_j^*), \qquad \tau, \tau' \in D(\mathfrak{g}^*),\ \xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*. \tag{6.2}$$

The algebra E_ξ equipped with either the adjoint action on itself or the action $\rightharpoondown$ described in Proposition 4.10 is an enveloping algebra of $D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. The corresponding embeddings $D(\mathfrak{g}^*) \to E_\xi$ are given by $\tau \mapsto \Psi_\tau$ and $\tau \mapsto \Phi_{\tau\iota}$, respectively.

Proof. Equipped with the adjoint action on itself, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra in $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$-mod. Indeed, the quantum anticommutativity and Jacobi identity in $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$-mod coincide with the their ordinary versions since $R = 1 \otimes 1$. Now $D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a quantum Lie algebra by Proposition 5.5. Next, $U_\eta(\mathfrak{g})$ is an enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ for any $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. By Proposition 5.5 the functor $D(?^*)$ transforms $U_\eta(\mathfrak{g})$ into an enveloping algebra of $D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. If $\eta = 0$ (respectively, $\eta = \xi$), the result is $(E_\xi)_{\mathrm{ad}}$ (respectively, $(E_\xi^*)_{\rightharpoonup}$). The E_ξ-module algebra in the second case is isomorphic to $(E_\xi^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$ with the action of E_ξ given by $\rightharpoonup$. Finally, $(E_\xi^*)^{\mathrm{op}} \cong E_\xi$ as E_ξ-module algebras with respect to $\rightharpoonup$. The embedding of $D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ into $(E_\xi)_{\mathrm{ad}}$ is described in Proposition 4.4 with $V = \mathfrak{g}$. The embedding of $D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ into $(E_\xi^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is given by $\tau \mapsto \Upsilon_{\tau\iota}$ (cf. Proposition 4.6). The element $\Upsilon_{\tau\iota}$ corresponds to $\Phi_{\tau\iota}$ in E_ξ. □

Example 1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the 2-dimensional Lie algebra with a basis e_0, e_1 and the multiplication $[e_0, e_1] = e_1$. The $[p]$-map on $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by $e_0^{[p]} = e_0$ and $e_1^{[p]} = 0$. If $\xi(e_1) \neq 0$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, then $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ has a p-dimensional absolutely irreducible module [42, 6.9]. Since $\dim U_\xi(\mathfrak{g}) = p^2$, this means that $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is central simple. One can easily check that the linear functions ξ satisfying $\xi(e_1) \neq 0$ constitute a conjugacy class with respect to the automorphism group of $\mathfrak{g}$. We may assume therefore that $\xi(e_0) = 0$ and $\xi(e_1) = 1$. Then $e_0^p = e_0$ and $e_1^p = 1$ in $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$.

The tables below give dual bases for $D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $D(\mathfrak{g})$ with respect to the pairing $D(\mathfrak{g}^*) \times D(\mathfrak{g}) \to k$, the multiplication in the quantum Lie algebra $D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and the action of elements $\varphi_i = \Phi_{\tau_i\sigma_1}$ in the E_ξ-module $D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$:

	e_0^*	e_1^*
τ_0	1	$-e_0e_1^{-1}$
τ_1	0	e_1^{-1}

	e_0	e_1
σ_0	1	0
σ_1	e_0	e_1

	τ_0	τ_1
τ_0	0	$-\tau_1$
τ_1	τ_1	0

	τ_0	τ_1
φ_0	0	τ_1
φ_1	$\tau_0 - \tau_1$	τ_1

One sees that $D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is in fact an ordinary Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}$. The algebra E_ξ is generated by φ_0, φ_1 (note that σ_1 is the canonical embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$ into $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$). One checks that $\Phi_{\tau_0\sigma_0} = \mathrm{id}$ and $\Phi_{\tau_1\sigma_0} = 0$. The braiding map $\tilde{c}_{D(\mathfrak{g}^*)D(\mathfrak{g}^*)}$ is computed from (4.10) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_0 \otimes \tau_0 \mapsto \tau_0 \otimes \tau_0, && \tau_0 \otimes \tau_1 \mapsto \tau_1 \otimes (\tau_0 + \tau_1), \\ &\tau_1 \otimes \tau_0 \mapsto (\tau_0 - \tau_1) \otimes \tau_1, && \tau_1 \otimes \tau_1 \mapsto \tau_1 \otimes \tau_1. \end{aligned}$$

Only one of the quadratic relations (5.2) is independent in the present settings. For $i = 0$, $j = 1$ it gives $\varphi_0\varphi_1 - \varphi_1(\varphi_0 + \varphi_1) = -\varphi_1$. The pth powers of φ_0, φ_1 can be figured out by computing straightforwardly in the algebra $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathrm{op}}$. Note that φ_0, φ_1 are represented by the elements $1 \otimes e_0 - e_0e_1^{-1} \otimes e_1$ and $e_1^{-1} \otimes e_1$ in the latter algebra. The coproduct in E_ξ is derived from (4.8). Altogether we get the following relations:

$$[\varphi_0, \varphi_1] = \varphi_1^2 - \varphi_1, \qquad \varphi_0^p = \varphi_0, \qquad \varphi_1^p = 1,$$
$$\Delta(\varphi_0) = 1 \otimes \varphi_0 + \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1, \qquad \Delta(\varphi_1) = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_1,$$
$$\varepsilon(\varphi_0) = 0, \qquad \varepsilon(\varphi_1) = 1, \qquad S(\varphi_0) = -\varphi_0\varphi_1^{-1}, \qquad S(\varphi_1) = \varphi_1^{-1}.$$

In this example one can recognize the Hopf algebra H_p described in [35, p. 158]. This Hopf algebra appears also in the family of Hopf algebras L_n, $n > 0$, constructed in [45, Lemma 7]. In general $\dim L_n = p^{2n}$ and the antipode of L_n has order

$2p^n$. One can suspect that L_n can be realized as the endomorphism Hopf algebra of a Galois algebra for the nth Frobenius kernel of the 2-dimensional nonabelian algebraic group.

Example 2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the 4-dimensional Lie algebra with a basis e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 and nonzero commutators

$$[e_0, e_1] = ae_1, \qquad [e_0, e_2] = be_2, \qquad [e_0, e_3] = (a+b)e_3, \qquad [e_1, e_2] = e_3$$

where a, b are integers such that $a + b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. One has $e_0^{[p]} = e_0$ and $e_i^{[p]} = 0$ for $i > 0$. This Lie algebra is unimodular only when $p = 2$. The representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ can be easily determined using methods of [41], [48]. If $\xi(e_3) \neq 0$ then $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ has an absolutely irreducible module of dimension p^2 which is induced from a onedimensional module over the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ spanned by e_2, e_3. Hence $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is central simple in this case. The automorphism group operates transitively on the set of linear functions satisfying $\xi(e_3) \neq 0$. So we may assume that $\xi(e_3) = 1$ and $\xi(e_i) = 0$ for $i \neq 3$. The data comprised in the tables below has the same meaning as in the previous example:

	e_0^*	e_1^*	e_2^*	e_3^*
τ_0	1	$ae_2e_3^{-1}$	$-be_1e_3^{-1}$	$(be_1e_2 - ae_2e_1 - e_0e_3)e_3^{-2}$
τ_1	0	e_3^d	0	$-e_1e_3^{d-1}$
τ_2	0	0	e_3^c	$-e_2e_3^{c-1}$
τ_3	0	0	0	e_3^{-1}

	e_0	e_1	e_2	e_3
σ_0	1	0	0	0
σ_1	$-ae_2e_3^c$	e_3^{c+1}	0	0
σ_2	$be_1e_3^d$	0	e_3^{d+1}	0
σ_3	e_0	e_1	e_2	e_3

	τ_0	τ_1	τ_2	τ_3
τ_0	$-ab\tau_3$	$-a\tau_1$	$-b\tau_2$	$-(a+b)\tau_3$
τ_1	$a\tau_1$	0	$-\tau_3$	0
τ_2	$b\tau_2$	τ_3	0	0
τ_3	$(a+b)\tau_3$	0	0	0

Here c, d are integers such that $b + c(a+b) \equiv 0$, $a + d(a+b) \equiv 0$, hence also $c + d + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The multiplication in $D(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is no longer anticommutative in the ordinary sense as $[\tau_0\tau_0] \neq 0$. The elements $\varphi_i = \Phi_{\tau_i\sigma_3}$ generate E_ξ, and the relations fulfilled in E_ξ are listed below. We omit the details of the computations:

$$[\varphi_0, \varphi_1] = a\varphi_1(2\varphi_3 - 1), \qquad [\varphi_0, \varphi_2] = b\varphi_2(2\varphi_3 - 1), \qquad [\varphi_0, \varphi_3] = (a+b)(\varphi_3^2 - \varphi_3),$$

$$[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] = \varphi_3^2 - \varphi_3, \qquad [\varphi_1, \varphi_3] = [\varphi_2, \varphi_3] = 0,$$

$$\varphi_0^p = \varphi_0, \qquad \varphi_1^p = \varphi_2^p = 0, \qquad \varphi_3^p = 1,$$

$$\Delta(\varphi_0) = 1 \otimes \varphi_0 - a\varphi_2\varphi_3^c \otimes \varphi_1 + b\varphi_1\varphi_3^d \otimes \varphi_2 + \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_3,$$

$$\Delta(\varphi_1) = \varphi_3^{-d} \otimes \varphi_1 + \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_3, \qquad \Delta(\varphi_2) = \varphi_3^{-c} \otimes \varphi_2 + \varphi_2 \otimes \varphi_3, \qquad \Delta(\varphi_3) = \varphi_3 \otimes \varphi_3,$$

$$\varepsilon(\varphi_0) = \varepsilon(\varphi_1) = \varepsilon(\varphi_2) = 0, \qquad \varepsilon(\varphi_3) = 1,$$

$$S(\varphi_0) = (b\varphi_1\varphi_2 - a\varphi_2\varphi_1 - \varphi_0\varphi_3)\varphi_3^{-2},$$
$$S(\varphi_1) = -\varphi_1\varphi_3^{d-1}, \qquad S(\varphi_2) = -\varphi_2\varphi_3^{c-1}, \qquad S(\varphi_3) = \varphi_3^{-1}.$$

The assignments $\varphi_0 \mapsto Z$, $\varphi_1 \mapsto X$, $\varphi_2 \mapsto Y$, $\varphi_3 \mapsto 1$ define a homomorphism of E_ξ onto the Hopf algebra of dimension p^3 with generators X, Y, Z and relations

$$[Z,X] = aX, \qquad [Z,Y] = bY, \qquad [X,Y] = 0, \qquad Z^p = Z, \qquad X^p = Y^p = 0,$$
$$\Delta(Z) = 1 \otimes Z - aY \otimes X + bX \otimes Y + Z \otimes 1,$$
$$\Delta(X) = 1 \otimes X + X \otimes 1, \qquad \Delta(Y) = 1 \otimes Y + Y \otimes 1.$$

It may be amusing to compare this Hopf algebra with the Hopf algebra H from [44].

The Hopf algebras in examples 1, 2 are pointed. In the light of attempts to classify pointed Hopf algebras (e.g., [2]) it may be of interest to single out those Hopf algebras among the E_ξ's.

Proposition 6.5. *Suppose that k is algebraically closed and $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is simple. Then E_ξ is pointed if and only if $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$ consists of $[p]$-nilpotent elements.*

Proof. In order that E_ξ be pointed it is necessary and sufficient that E_ξ^* have only onedimensional irreducible modules. Since $E_\xi^* \cong E_\xi \cong U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ as algebras, an equivalent condition is that $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ has only onedimensional irreducible modules or, in other words, $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$ annihilates the irreducible $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. In view of Engel's theorem [42, Ch. 1, Cor. 3.2] this can be rephrased by saying that all elements of $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$ operate nilpotently in $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. Taking a faithful $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$-module, we deduce that $x^{[p]^n} = 0$ for all $x \in [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$ when n is sufficiently big. $\square$

Remark. If k is not algebraically closed, one must add the condition that $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a split torus of maximal dimension.

Conjecture 6.6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any p-Lie algebra and $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.*

(i) *$U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ is central simple if and only if the alternating bilinear form β_ξ associated with ξ is nondegenerate.*

(ii) *The Hopf algebras E_ξ and E_η are isomorphic whenever both $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U_\eta(\mathfrak{g})$ are central simple.*

Currently I can prove that (i) holds if either $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable or k is algebraically closed and $\operatorname{ad}\mathfrak{g} \subset \operatorname{Lie} G$ where G is the automorphism group of $\mathfrak{g}$. In the second case (ii) is also fulfilled. These results will appear elsewhere.

References

1. E. Aljadeff, P. Etingof, S. Gelaki and D. Nikshych, *On twisting of finite-dimensional Hopf algebras,* arXiv:math.QA/0107167.
2. N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *Pointed Hopf algebras,* arXiv:math.QA/0110136.
3. M. Beattie and K.-H. Ulbrich, *A Skolem-Noether theorem for Hopf algebra actions,* Comm. Algebra **18** (1990) 3713–3724.
4. R.J. Blattner and S. Montgomery, *Crossed products and Galois extensions of Hopf algebras,* Pacific J. Math. **137** (1989) 37–54.
5. S.U. Chase and M. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras and Galois Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 97, Springer, 1969.

6. M. Cohen, D. Fischman and S. Montgomery, *Hopf Galois extensions, smash products, and Morita equivalence,* J. Algebra **133** (1990) 351–372.
7. Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi, *Cleft comodule algebras for a bialgebra,* Comm. Algebra **14** (1986) 801–817.
8. Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi, *Hopf-Galois extensions of algebras, the Miyashita-Ulbrich action, and Azumaya algebras,* J. Algebra **121** (1989) 488–516.
9. V.G. Drinfel'd, *Quantum groups,* J. Sov. Math. **41** (1988) 898-915.
10. V.G. Drinfel'd, *On almost cocommutative Hopf algebras,* Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990) 321–342.
11. V.G. Drinfel'd, *Quasi-Hopf algebras,* Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990) 1419-1457.
12. P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, *The classification of triangular semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebras over an algebraically closed field,* Internat. Math. Res. Notices no. 5 (2000) 223–234.
13. C. Faith, *Algebra II Ring Theory*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 191, Springer, 1976.
14. C. Greither, *On the transformation of the Hopf algebra in a Hopf Galois extension,* Comm. Algebra **24** (1996) 737–747.
15. N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra II*, Freeman, 1980.
16. J. Jantzen, *Representations of algeraic groups*, Academic Press, 1987.
17. A. Joyal and R. Street, *Braided tensor categories,* Adv. Math. **102** (1993) 20–78.
18. C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 155, Springer, 1995.
19. M. Koppinen, *A Skolem-Noether theorem for coalgebra measurings,* Arch. Math. **57** (1991) 34–40.
20. H.F. Kreimer and P.M.I. Cook, *Galois theories and normal bases,* J. Algebra **43** (1976) 115–121.
21. H.F. Kreimer and M. Takeuchi, *Hopf algebras and Galois extensions of an algebra,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981) 675–692.
22. R.G. Larson and M.E. Sweedler, *An associative orthogonal bilinear form for Hopf algebras,* Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969) 75–94.
23. Sh. Majid, *Quantum and braided-Lie algebras,* J. Geom. Phys. **13** (1994) 307-356.
24. Sh. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
25. Yu. Manin, *Quantum Groups and Non-Commutative Geometry*, Publ. CRM, Université de Montréal, 1988.
26. A. Masuoka, *Coalgebra actions on Azumaya algebras,* Tsukuba J. Math. **14** (1990) 107–112.
27. A.A. Mil'ner, *Irreducible representations of modular Lie algebras,* Math. USSR Izv. **9** (1975) 1169–1187.
28. S. Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and Their Actions on Rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol. 82, American Mathematical Society, 1993.
29. W.D. Nichols and M.B. Zoeller, *A Hopf algebra freeness theorem,* Amer. J. Math. **111** (1989) 381–385.
30. A.I. Ooms, *On Lie algebras having a primitive universal enveloping algebra,* J. Algebra **32** (1974) 488–500.
31. A.I. Ooms, *On Lie algebras with primitive envelopes, supplements,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1976) 67–72.
32. A.I. Ooms, *On Frobenius Lie algebras,* Comm. Algebra **8** (1980) 13–52.
33. A. Premet and S. Skryabin, *Representations of restricted Lie algebras and families of associative $\mathcal{L}$-algebras,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **507** (1999) 189–218.
34. D.E. Radford, *The order of the antipode of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra is finite,* Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976) 333–355.
35. D.E. Radford, *Operators on Hopf algebras,* Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977) 139–158.
36. D.E. Radford, *On the antipode of a quasitriangular Hopf algebra,* J. Algebra **151** (1992) 1–11.

37. D.E. Radford, *Minimal quasitriangular Hopf algebras,* J. Algebra **157** (1993) 285–315.
38. P. Schauenburg, *Hopf bigalois extensions,* Comm. Algebra **24** (1996) 3797–3825.
39. P. Schauenburg, *A bialgebra that admits a Hopf-Galois extension is a Hopf algebra,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997) 83–85.
40. H.-J. Schneider, *Principal homogeneous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras,* Isr. J. Math. **72** (1990) 167–195.
41. H. Strade, *Darstellungen auflösbarer Lie p-Algebren,* Math. Ann. **232** (1978) 15–32.
42. H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie Algebras and their Representations*, Marcel Dekker Textbooks and Monographs, Vol. 116, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
43. M.E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
44. E.J. Taft and R.L. Wilson, *Hopf algebras with nonsemisimple antipode,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975) 269–276.
45. E.J. Taft and R.L. Wilson, *There exist Hopf algebras with antipodes of arbitrary even order,* J. Algebra **62** (1980) 283–291.
46. K.-H. Ulbrich, *Galoiserweiterungen von nicht-kommutativen Ringen,* Comm. Algebra **10** (1982) 655–672.
47. F. Van Oystaeyen and Y. Zhang, *Galois-type correspondences for Hopf-Galois extensions,* K-Theory **8** (1994) 257–269.
48. B.Yu. Weisfeiler and V.G. Kac, *On irreducible representations of Lie p-algebras,* Functional Anal. Appl. **5** (1971) 111–117.